



G. Vogel, U. Rummel and J.-P.Schulz



Lindenberg Meteorological Observatory – Richard Aßmann Observatory

Three variants









Plant type/Land use type	LAI_Wikipedia	LAImax- GLC2009
farmland (winter)	0,2	
intensively used grassland (summer)	7	
"usual" grassland	1-2	2,5
coniferous forest	5	5
Douglas fir	10-13	
spruce	5-10	
Scots pin	3-4	
European larch tree	2-4	5
deciduous broadleaved forest		6
beech (winter)	0,2	
beech (summer)	6-8	





Motivation

- Several possibilities for assuming the daylength with distinct impact on the LAI development during early spring and in the autumn
- Available information on measured LAI values unsufficient for validating the simulated annual cycles for specific natural surfaces (e.g. grass). Satellite-based data are also not ap-propriated because they often represent area-averages of different plant kinds.
- Decision on performing own mesurements of LAI to check the reliability of the approach under local conditions
- Enlargement of our measurement program at the Boundary layer field site Falkenberg to get LAI data on a weekly basis



Boundary layer site Falkenberg/DWD









Lindenberg Meteorological Observatory – Richard Aßmann Observatory G. Vogel et a























































Problem

- Due its regular mowning (six times a year) the grass cover at Falkenberg doesn't represent natural growth conditions.
- The very low canopy height of the grass cover prevailing around the year strongly impairs the measurement accuracy.
- An additional site (nearby the SODAR site) has been chosen, where the continuous growth process is not disturbed by mowning activities.











Deutscher Wetterdienst



27 May 2015

03 June 2015











11 June 2015











- Species represents c3-grass (vigorous, rapid-growing, easily regenerable, responds well to the water balance)
- Flowering time from may until autumn, canopy height up to 70cm
- Dark green, shiny leaves up to 4mm broad and up to 20cm long
- Grass kind often used for robust lawn retaining its colour for a longer time.

Source: Wikipedia



Measurement device





LAI-2000

Plant Canopy Analyzer LI-COR, Inc.



Lindenberg Meteorological Observatory – Richard Aßmann Observatory

Theoretical basics*



Assumptions: The foliage is randomly distributed. The foliage elements are small compared to the area of view of the instrument.

$$T(\theta, \varphi) = \exp\left(-G(\theta, \varphi)\mu S(\theta, \varphi)\right)$$

$$\overline{G}^{\varphi}(\theta)\mu = -\frac{\ln\left(\overline{T}^{\varphi}(\theta)\right)}{\overline{S}^{\varphi}(\theta)} = \overline{K}^{\varphi}(\theta) \quad LAI = \mu z \quad \overline{S}^{\varphi}(\theta) = \frac{z}{\cos\theta}$$

$$LAI = 2\int_{0}^{\pi/2} \overline{K}^{\varphi}(\theta) \sin(\theta) d\theta = 2\sum_{i=1}^{5} K_{i}w_{i}$$

$$\stackrel{\Theta: \qquad \text{zenith angle}}{\underset{\mu: \qquad \text{foliage density [m^{2}/m^{3}]}}_{\text{G}(\theta, \phi): \qquad \text{fraction of foliage projected toward } (\theta, \phi)$$

$$S(\theta, \phi): \qquad \text{probability of non-interception of a radiation beam of diffuse sky radiation (<490nm) when passing through the canopy}$$

*Miller; J.B.:A formula for average foliage density, Aust. J. Bot., 15 (1967), 41-44













Lindenberg Meteorological Observatory – Richard Aßmann Observatory G. Vogel et al. July 2015













Lindenberg Meteorological Observatory – Richard Aßmann Observatory G. Vogel et al. July 2015







Lindenberg Meteorological Observatory – Richard Aßmann Observatory G. Vogel et al. July 2015











Distribution of electric soil conductivity



貅

Lindenberg Meteorological Observatory – Richard Aßmann Observatory

Relative topography of Falkenberg site











based on Stöckli et al. (2011)





- For an optimal fit to the LAI measurements over natural grass cover the parameterization needs a reliable maximum value. Such a value should exclude, however, any temperature stress. Therefore, long-term measurements are required over several years.
- First offline studies yield already encouraging results during spring and summer.
- The mowning at end of April enabled to measure the LAI over the whole growth phase. This shows: the approach is fairly diagnostically dominated and the growth rate somewhat overestimated.
- The decision which daylength produces the most realistic LAI cycle can only be made, however, if the mea-surement data from the coming autumn and next spring will be available.







- On the one hand, a lot of measurements carried out at the Falkenberg site is used for manifold forcing and validation purposes, but the LAI cannot be measured continuously, because the canopy height is often too low. It is, however, needed in various parameterizations.
- On the other hand, an additional measurement program has been set up to measure the annual cycle of the LAI at SODAR site, but no other meteoro-locical quantities are available from here.
- **Conclusion**: use the SODAR-site measurements for estimating the needed LAI time series at the Falkenberg site.

Fotunately,

weekly measurements of the grass canopy height available from the Falkenberg site over the last 10 years





How to do this?

- Measure the canopy height at SODAR site (first measurements already have been taken)
- Try to establish regression relationships between LAI and h as soon as the data basis will enable any statistical treatment (after some years).
- Applicate this relationship to estimate the LAI time series over the last 10 years for use in offline validation studies instead to parameterize them with a lot of uncertainties (e.g. growth also depends on temperature and soil moisture).
- Accuracy and consistency checks by comparisions with measured LAI values (if larger canopy height are obtained shortly before mowning), photosynthetically active radiation data and CO2-fluxes as well

Conclusions

- LAI represents an important quantity for simulating transpiration, shading and prescribing the plant cover as well
- Current parameterization (sinus approach) unappropriate (no plant-specific approximation as needed e.g. for tile approach, no dependency on preceding weather development, no separate stress factors)
- Stöckli approach well appropriate to simulate the annual cycle of LAI for grass cover under natural growth conditions
- A local-referred parameter tuning against in-situ measurements will only possible if a sufficiently large data base is available in future

G. Vogel June 2010

based on Stöckli et al. (2011) adapted LAI daylength vpd c3-grass

->analog für 2015 mit LAI

with LAI_max=5

based on Stöckli et al. (2011)

based on Stöckli et al. (2011)

緣

Lindenberg Meteorological Observatory – Richard Aßmann Observatory

29 Januay 2015 Radiation site

