

Towards a new snow cover scheme for COSMO and ICON

Sascha Bellaire¹, Michael Lehning^{1,2}, Jean-Marie Bettems³, Oliver Fuhrer³

¹WSL Institute for Snow and Avalanche Research SLF, Davos, Switzerland

²CRYOS, School of Architecture, Civil and Environmental Engineering, EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland ³MeteoSwiss, Zurich, Switzerland

Davos, 05.03.2019

COSMO (Global usage) & ICON_{Global, LAM, LES}



COSMO & ICON used in climate mode

COSMO-2 validation (Snow depth)

Weissfluhjoch







Single layer snow cover scheme – COSMO

$$\frac{\partial T_{snow}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\left(\rho c \Delta z\right)_{snow}} \left(Q_F - Q_G + Q_M\right)$$

Atmospheric Forcing:

 $Q_{F} = Q_{S}^{*} + Q_{L}^{*} + Q_{HE} + Q_{SE}$

Ground heat Flux:





Single layer snow cover scheme – SnowMIP project

Annals of Glaciology 38 2004 © International Glaciological Society

Validation of the energy budget of an alpine snowpack simulated by several snow models (SnowMIP project)

PIERRE ETCHEVERS,¹ ERIC MARTIN,¹ Ross BROWN,² Charles FIERZ,³ Yves LEJEUNE,¹ ERIC BAZILE,⁴ AARON BOONE,⁴ Yong-Jiu DAI,⁵ Richard ESSERY,⁶ Alberto FERNANDEZ,⁷

From Conclusions:

simulated amount of melting that is too small. Finally, the model complexity appears to have a strong impact on the net longwave radiation simulation. Indeed, snow surface temperature is the result of a complex equilibrium in the snowpack, and the models which explicitly simulate internal snow processes simulate the snow surface temperature best. In contrast, model complexity has relatively little

COSMO & ICON_{Global, LAM, LES} – Global usage



Multi-layer snow cover scheme – COSMO



Proposed COSMO Priority Task (PT) Project – SAINT



COSMO Priority Task: <u>Snow cover Atmosphere INT</u>eractions (SAINT)

Version 1.0, 13.10.2017

Task Leader: Sascha Bellaire (WSL Institute for Snow and Avalanche Research SLF)

Main goal

Improving the current multi-layer snow cover scheme

Proposed COSMO Priority Task (PT) Project – SAINT



 \odot 'Good' models must include ...

 $\circ\,\text{new}$ snow density,

- o albedo parameterization (SEB),
- \circ heat conduction/equation,
- phase changes,
- water transport.
- o compaction/settlement.

The Scheme: Multi-layer snow cover scheme (MLS; Layering)







General Structure:

- Maximum 10 snow layers
- fixed first layer thickness
 0.01 m
- Logarithmic increase of layer thickness with depth

Limitations:

- o no layer smaller than 0.01 m
- minimum snow depth 0.01 m

Snow cover scheme (MLS) – Implementation



... consists of 2 (or 3) subroutines called ...



... before solving the heat equation in TERRA

5646		
5647	END DO	
5648	END DO	! soil layers
5649		
5650	!MR: 05.05.2017	and later: heat-budget for inner soil layers substituted by a slightly different notation: >
5651	!	
5652		
5653	·*************	***************************************
5654	!MR: 05.05.2017	: modified heat-budget for boundary soil layers including a single-layer snow-cover: <
5655	[**************	***************************************
5656		

The Method: Validation (COSMO-7)





... ~ 700 km x 700 km domain
 centered around Davos ...

... covering most of the Alpine ridge ...

- ... computationally inexpensive ...
- ... boundary conditions from COSMO-7 analysis ...

o ... 72-hour forecast/hindcast

Heat Equation – Implementation

1D heat equation:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2}; \quad 0 \le x \le L; \quad t \ge 0$$

- Solve the one-dimensional heat equation.
- Setup a tridiagonal matrix for set of linear equations for each layer.
- ${\rm \circ}$ Solved using the Thomas-Algorithm

```
! Setup tridiagonal matrix for set of linear equations for each layer ...
  ! ... TOP LAYER
                             Top laver
 dz low = zm sn(top sn)
 a(top_sn) = 0.0_w
 b(top_sn) = 1 + (1 - cn) * alpha(top_sn) * hcon_sn_now(top_sn)/dz_low - alpha(top_sn)*dlw_u_sn
 c(top_sn) = - (1 - cn) * alpha(top_sn) * here now(top_sn)/dz_low
 d(top_sn) = t_sn(top_sn) + alpha(top_sn) (for_sn - )w_u_sn^*t_sn(top_sn) + cn^*hdif_sn(top_sn))
   ! ... INNER LAYERS
                                                      for sn = forcing = SEB
   D0 i = top_{sn+1}, bot_{-1}, 1
     dz_{up} = zm_{sn(i)} - zm_{sn(i-1)}
     dz_{low} = zm_{sn(i+1)} - zm_{sn(i)}
     a(i) = -(1 - cn) * alpha(i) * hcon_sn_now(i-1)/dz_up
     b(i) = 1 + (1 - cn) * alpha(i) * (hcon_sn_now(i) /dz_low + hcon_sn_now(i-1)/dz_up)
     c(i) = -(1 - cn) * alpha(i) * hcon_sn_now(i) /dz_low
     d(i) = t_sn(i) + cn^*alpha(i) * (hdif_sn(i) - hdif_sn(i-1))
   ENDDO
 ! ... BOTTOM LAYER
 dz_{up} = zm_sn(bot) - zm_sn(bot-1)
 a(bot) = -(1 - cn) * alpha(bot) * hcon_sn_now(bot-1)/dz_up
 b(bot) = 1 + (1 - cn) * alpha(bot) * hcon_sn_now(bot-1)/dz_up
 c(bot) = 0.0 wp
 d(bot) = t_sn(bot) - cn*alpha(bot-1) + alpha(bot)*hdif_sn(bot)
! Solve the system - Thomas Algorithm
! NOTE: The following can be put in a subroutine
 DO i = 1, bot, 1
  e(i) = t_sn(i)
 ENDDO
  beta = b(top_sn)
   ! Forward substitution
   DO j = 1, bot, 1
       IF(j == 1) THEN
         e(j) = d(j) / beta
       EL SE
         gama(j) = c(j-1) / beta
         beta = b(i) - a(i) * aama(i)
               = (d(j) - a(j) * e(j-1)) / beta
         e(j)
       ENDIE
   END DO ! end of j
    ! Backward substitution
    D0 i = bot - 1, 1, -1
      e(i) = e(i) - aama(i+1) * e(i+1)
    ENDDO
```

Heat Equation – Benchmarking (SNOWPACK vs. MLS)



Heat Equation – Benchmarking (Observations)



Heat Equation – Benchmarking (SNOWPACK vs. MLS)

Weissfluhjoch



TIME

Heat Equation – Snow temperature profile

Snow depth (m)

Schneeprofil: 5WJ Ort: GR Davos Weissfluhioch / Versuchsfeld Datum/Zeit: 14.02.2015 09:45 2017-02-16 00:00 Beobachter: C. Fierz / Martina Sättele Höhe ü. M.: 2540 m Lufttemp : -0.9 °C Profilnr: 1 Exposition: flach / Neigung: Bewölkung: leicht bewölkt (1-2/8) Koordinaten: 780872 / 189272 Wind: NW / 1 km/h Gesamtwasserwert: 479.9 mm (HS: 153 cm) Mittl. Raumgew .: 313.7 kg/m3 Mittl. Rammwiderstand: 117 N Hasty Pit: Nein Anrissprofil: Nein Pistenprofil: Nein Wetter/Niederschlag: Über lange strecken beinahe windstill (nur katabatische Winde von NW), dann drehen auf S am Mittag Bemerkungen: HS(IMIS)=146 cm, Tss(IMIS)=-14.9 °C @ 10:00 + Neuschnee ∠ Filz ● kleine Runde ■ kantig A Tiefenreif V Oberflächenreif O Schmelzform ■ Eislamelle ⊕ kantig, abgerundet & Graupel Rammwiderstand (N) K Niete p 1200 1100 1000 900 800 700 600 500 400 300 200 100 0 10 Atmosphere 0.0 V V • • .25 2-3 *** □(/) .5·1 1·2 ** 0.2 D(/) .5-1 D(/) .75-1 **D(•)** .5 00 .75 Oberste Schichten (ab 14. Jan 2015) noch nicht stark verfestigt. SH spricht noch gut an. Schwächere Schichten im unteren Teil. 123-122: SHxr (abgerundet), mehrtägig, zT 3D 78-77.8: wohl SH-Schicht beobachtet V(B) 3-6 .75 0.4 im Profil vom 14. Januar 2015 ? 19-15: verfestigt durch eingedrungene D(8) 5 Wasser, aber keine eigentliche MFcr. DHxr (abgerundete Becher) klar 88 -1 CD .5-.75 4 E(D) 1-1.25 3 Schneeoberfläche: SH klein auf windgeprägter Oberfläche (Windgangeln und -rippen) .75 66 Triebschnee: 0.6 Alarmzeichen Keine **BB** 1-1.5 Lawinen: Keine frischen beobachtet Soil 88 5-75 Bemerkungen: Totalisator: alt 4989 g: neu 4272 g loss-Tognini unveränder e e 0.8 SMP Messungen am Profilort, vorgängig zu Rammprofil, alle auf einer Linie: 0(8) Denoth & InfraSnow (#432) Rammprofil (#433) FCT (#434 & #435) 1.5 0.0 Stabilitätstests ECT #1: 13/13@123cm SC 255 265 275 1.1.5 34! 00 88 1-1.25 Temperature (K)

-24 -22 -20 -18 -16 -14 -12

-10 -8 -6 -4 -2

Temperatur (*C)

Copyright (C) SLF Dayos

Fäden

blau

schwarz

grür

blau

rot

schwarz

arūn

Intercantonal Measurement and Information System (IMIS)

Heat Equation – Initial Results (Surface Temperature)



Heat Equation – Initial Results (Surface Temperature)

Weissfluhjoch (FOR_E + FOR_D = 0.93)



Boveire-PointedeToules (FOR_E = FOR_D = 0)



= Single layer snow cover scheme (SLS)

X = Multi-layer snow cover scheme (MLS)

Heat Equation – Forcing?



Time

Heat Equation – Forcing (Albedo)



P_{rate} = *Precipitation rate* T_{SFC} = Snow surface temperature T_{10m} = Air Temperature 10 m

Heat Equation – Forcing (Albedo)



Time

Heat Equation – Forcing (Transfer Coefficient)

Boundary-Layer Meteorology

October 2017, Volume 165, <u>Issue 1</u>, pp 161–180 | <u>Cite as</u>

How do Stability Corrections Perform in the Stable Boundary Layer Over Snow?

Authors	
---------	--

Authors and affiliations

Sebastian Schlögl 🖂 , Michael Lehning, Kouichi Nishimura, Hendrik Huwald, Nicolas J. Cullen, Rebecca Mott

Stability Corrections:

$$\psi_m\left(T,T_{sn},\bar{U}\right)=a_1B+b_1S,$$

$$\psi_s\left(T,T_{sn},\bar{U}\right)=a_2B+b_2S,$$

B =	$\Delta T/\bar{T}$
S =	$z_{\rm ref} g/\bar{U}$

	test site	<i>a</i> ₁	b_1	test site	<i>a</i> ₂	b_2
I	WFJ07 (3 m)	3.227	0.0043	WFJ07 (3 m)	-982.90	-0.0005
I	WFJ07 (5 m)	-4.441	0.0025	WFJ07 (5 m)	-642.51	0.0009
	WFJ11	-30.74	0.0008	WFJ11	-1135.4	-0.0015
l	PM07 NWW	-191.93	0.0008	PM07 NWW	-751.73	-0.0005
l	PM07 SEE	-29.55	0.0090	PM07 SEE	-692.74	-0.0123
l	GR00 (1 m)	-145.41	-0.0914	GR00 (1 m)	-378.92	-2.0489
	GR00 (2 m)	-179.56	-0.0369	GR00 (2 m)	-243.93	-0.7448
	Universal	-65.35	0.0017	Universal	-813.21	-0.0014

Sensible heat flux:

$$H = \rho c_p C_H \overline{U} \Delta \theta,$$

Transfer Coefficient:

$$C_{H} = \frac{k^{2}}{\left[\ln\left(\frac{z_{\text{ref}}}{z_{\text{OM}}}\right) - \psi_{m}(\zeta)\right] \left[\ln\left(\frac{z_{\text{ref}}}{z_{\text{OM}}}\right) - \psi_{s}(\zeta)\right]},$$

where k = 0.4 is the von Kármán constant, $\zeta = (-k z_{ref}gT_*)/(\theta_s u_*^2)$ is the modelled stability parameter (stability parameter henceforth), $u_* = k \bar{U} (\ln (z_{ref}/z_{0M}) - \psi_m)^{-1}$ is the modelled friction velocity, $T_* = k (\theta_s - \theta_{z_{ref}}) (\ln (z_{ref}/z_{0M}) - \psi_s)^{-1}$ is the modelled temperature scale, z_{0M} is the aerodynamic roughness length and ψ_m and ψ_s are the stability corrections for momentum and scalars. In our analysis, we used the simple approach that the roughness

Heat Equation – Forcing (Transfer Coefficient)



Heat Equation – Forcing (Transfer Coefficient)



Time

Heat Equation – Initial Results

Weissfluhjoch (FOR_E + FOR_D = 0.93)



= Single layer snow cover scheme (SLS)

Boveire-PointedeToules (FOR_E = FOR_D = 0)



X = Multi-layer snow cover scheme (MLS)

Heat Equation – Initial Results



Δ = Multi-layer snow cover scheme (MLS) with new flux parameterizations

Snow cover scheme (MLS) – Implementation



... consists of 2 (or 3) subroutines called ...



... before solving the heat equation in TERRA

5646		
5647	END DO	
5648	END DO	! soil layers
5649		
5650	!MR: 05.05.2017	and later: heat-budget for inner soil layers substituted by a slightly different notation: >
5651	!	
5652		
5653	·*************	***************************************
5654	!MR: 05.05.2017	: modified heat-budget for boundary soil layers including a single-layer snow-cover: <
5655	[**************	***************************************
5656		











Snow cover scheme (MLS) – Implementation



... consists of 2 (or 3) subroutines called ...



... before solving the heat equation in TERRA

5646		
5647	END DO	
5648	END DO	! soil layers
5649		
5650	!MR: 05.05.2017	and later: heat-budget for inner soil layers substituted by a slightly different notation: >
5651	!	
5652		
5653	·*************	***************************************
5654	!MR: 05.05.2017	: modified heat-budget for boundary soil layers including a single-layer snow-cover: <
5655	[**************	***************************************
5656		

Settling & Re-meshing – Implementation



= Layer depth/nodes

Time step

Outlook & Future work

- Version 0 'ready' by mid April
- Validation of snow cover scheme (fully coupled; interface routine), further adaptations of the scheme (e.g. absorption of solar energy)
- First runs with ICON (global, LAM) fall 2019
 - ICON-Model

- Adaptation of snow analysis and data assimilation (meeting today 13-16 p.m.; B125)
- Standalone version of the snow cover scheme to be able to force it externally (e.g. INCA, AWS etc.)
- Find a cool name !!!!



Thanks! Questions and/or comments?

Sascha Bellaire¹, Michael Lehning^{1,2}, Jean-Marie Bettems³, Oliver Fuhrer³

¹WSL Institute for Snow and Avalanche Research SLF, Davos, Switzerland

²CRYOS, School of Architecture, Civil and Environmental Engineering, EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland ³MeteoSwiss, Zurich, Switzerland

Email: bellaire@slf.ch

Priority Task (PT) project - SAINT

Summary:

- PT SAINT: Joined project of MeteoSwiss and SLF (Project leader: S. Bellaire)
- Start: July 2017 ; Ends June 2020
- Goal: New 'operational' multi-layer snow cover scheme for NWP models COSMO and ICON.
- 'Limited' SNOWPACK version:
 - Max. 10 Layers
 - Heat conduction
 - Phase changes
 - o Water transport
 - Settling/Compaction
 - o ...

Outcome/Outlook:

- Better representation of snow cover in NWP models on different scales local, regional to global, e.g. snow height/ water equivalent, albedo and new snow amounts. Direct production of HN and HS maps on any horizontal resolution
- Fully coupled, i.e. Snow cover Atmosphere INTeraction (i.e. SAINT) fulfilled, integral approach. SNOWPACK forced with COSMO or any other forecasted data is only a oneway coupling.
- Possible standalone version allows integration into Nowcasting Systems (e.g. INCA).

Snow related activities at MeteoSwiss

Current situation:

- COSMO PT SAINT
- Snow analysis for COSMO-1 / COSMO-E requires update
- Possible new COSMO PP about snow analysis
- New collaborator at DWD starting in April 2019, will work on snow analysis (2D Var ...)
- Observation driven snow model used at LOM
 - 24h accumulated height of new snow: use 10 min INCA snow output feeding a simple snow model (compaction, calibration)
 - forecaster would like to have 3 days accumulated new snow, Matteo judges that his current model is too simple for that purpose
 - Matteo asks if it could be possible to use the new snow model developed by Sascha (in standalone mode)

Snow related activities at MeteoSwiss

Topics:

- New snow model (PT SAINT) Interface routines by Matthias R.
- New snow model (PT SAINT) First complete implementation, planned for mid April
- New snow model (PT SAINT) How to proceed to transfer this development in an official COSMO release, in an official ICON-LAM release?
- New snow model Standalone version: what is required? where to implement (SNOWE, TSA)? what about the SAT scheme (e.g. Louis scheme in TSA)? which meteorological fields required to drive the model (COSMO, INCA)?

New snow model – Standalone version: which quality to expect running the model with perfect forcing on a full winter season? What is the importance of snow transport by wind (not modeled)?

- New snow model Standalone version: which plans for ICON-LAM?
- Snow analysis for the COSMO system Adapt for new multi-layers snow model
- Snow analysis for the COSMO system Correct MSG SEVIRI derived snow mask (bogus HR product, work on-going at Locarno) or use different snow mask
- Snow analysis for the COSMO system, CH domain Use of SLF analysis? Share with nowcasting group the development of an observation driven standalone snow model over CH?
- Snow analysis for the COSMO system New COSMO PP , 09.2019 09.2021, with RHM? Which goals (1D SAINT based snow model...)? Shall one also invest in the lateral interpolation? ICON-LAM compatibility? Which resources?