

APSU Priority Project

Chiara Marsigli **Deutscher Wetterdienst**



Chiara Marsigli COSMO GM 2019 1





Outline

- APSU Priority Project running from March 2018 to August 2020
- Participants:
 - Arpae
 - COMET (Marcucci)
 - DWD (Gebhardt, Machulskaya)
 - IMGW (Duniec, Interewicz, Mazur)
 - MeteoSwiss (Arpagaus, Füzer, Walser)
 - RHM (Alferov, Astakhova, Gayfulin, Tsyrulnikov)
- CP ensemble developments, focus on: representation of model uncertainty, calibration and post-processing for ensemble, transition to **ICON-LAM** for ensembles







- development of model perturbation methodologies (Task 1, 2, 3):
 - At DWD:
 - test of the EM-scheme (also: implementation in ICON-EPS)
 - run of ICON-D2-EPS experiments with parameter perturbation (Task 6)
 - At MCH:
 - evaluation of KENDA analysis increments to be used as model perturbations
 - Implementation of **iSPPT** in COSMO-E: results not satisfactory (work stopped)
 - At RHM:
 - **AMPT scheme** (Additive Model error perturbations scaled by Physical Tendencies), using the SPG. Verification comparing with SPPT scheme
 - At IMGW:
 - evaluation of the perturbation of soil surface temperature, and of the combination of perturbations of few soil and upper air parameters







- **post-processing** methods for the CP ensembles (severe weather) (Task 4)
 - Calibration at IMGW
 - Product generation at COMET (SRNWP-EPS of EUMETNET)
- improvement of Initial and Boundary Conditions for the CP ensembles (Task 5):
 - MCH: compare COSMO-E with IFS-ENS vs. ICON-EPS BCs, primarily for short-range forecasts (start in autumn by an internship)
 - DWD: test selection of KENDA analyses for initialising the ensemble forecast (when resources will be available)
- transition to ICON-LAM for the ensembles (Task 6): planning to be refined/defined
 - discussion in WG7 on Monday





EM-scheme: model for the model error (E. Machulskaya)

 $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}\right]_{\det} + \eta(t) \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} = -\gamma \eta$ $\sigma \xi(t)$

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} = -\gamma \eta + \gamma \nabla (\lambda^2 \nabla \eta) + \gamma \nabla (\lambda^2 \nabla \eta)$$

 ψ : prognostic variables (T, QV, U, V) $\eta(t)$: noise field / model error, correlated in time and space $\xi(t)$: Gaussian noise σ, γ, λ : standard deviation and spatial and temporal correlation

- γ , λ and σ are weather-dependent and are derived from past data
- First extensive experiment of the scheme used in ensemble forecast started

C. Gebhardt, DWD





AMPT model perturbation scheme

- A tool for model-error simulation in LAM EPS/EDA, based on SPG
- The SPG works on 2-D and 3-D limited area spatial domains with meaningful and tunable spatio-temporal structure
- AMPT implements the SPG in the additive mode with an automatically selected magnitude
- AMPT works in COSMO and perturbs T, p, u, v, qv, qc, qi
- The AMPT model error perturbations are the mutually uncorrelated spatio-temporal (SPG-generated) random fields scaled by the area averaged (in the horizontal) physics tendency |P|
- $|\mathcal{P}|$ is updated every hour at every level for every field.

M. Tsyrulnikov E. Astakhova RHM







AMPT model perturbation scheme

- 300*400 km area centered at Sochi (latitude 44N). Half of the domain is Black sea, another half is land with mountains.
- Resolution: 2.2 km, 50 levels
- Ensemble size 10
- Initial and lateral boundary conditions for ensemble members are taken from COSMO-LEPS adapted for a larger Sochi region (resolution 7 km), made by the Italian colleagues.
- Time period: February March 2014



M. Tsyrulnikov E. Astakhova RHM





AMPT model

perturbation scheme

Deutscher Wetterdienst Wetter und Klima aus einer Hand









AMPT model perturbation scheme: plans

- Status:
 - Tapering in the lower troposphere is switched off
 - An upper-level humidity tapering is introduced
 - Hydrometeors: only at grid points with non-zero concentrations the perturbations are added
- **Outlook:**
 - transfer testing from COSMO to ICON
 - Implementation of SPG/AMPT in ICON (in the LAM setup).
 - Setting up a new LAM-EPS in central Russia
 - Improvement in the generation of AMPT wind perturbations (switching from u, v to stream function and velocity potential)
 - Further investigation of the role of humidity and hydrometeor perturbations

M. Tsyrulnikov, E. Astakhova - RHM





Deutscher Wetterdienst Wetter und Klima aus einer Hand

iSPPT (independent SPPT)

independent stochastically perturbed physical tendencies:

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = D^X + K^X \sum_{i=1}^N (1 + rand_i) P_i^X$$

- X = meteorological variable (e.g. T, U, V etc.)
- D = dynamics
- K = horizontal diffusion
- i = radiation, turbulence,
 - microphysics, shallow convection
- P = physical parametrization tendency

L. Füzer, A. Walser, MCH





Deutscher Wetterdienst Wetter und Klima aus einer Hand



iSPPT: experiment setup

- Model: COSMO 5.0+
- iSPPT not ported to GPU
- only limited CPU resources available
- Members: 16
- Lead time: 48 hours
- Analysis: KENDA
- LBCs: IFS-ENS
- Time period summer:
- Time period winter:
- Number of runs per exp:

06-06-2018 to 26-06-2018 08-12-2017 to 28-12-2017 10 (initialized every 2nd day)





L. Füzer, A. Walser, MCH





Temp RMEV vs. STDE, k=60, winter



→ SPPT and iSPPT identical

KENDA ensemble mean analysis used as truth







Temp RMEV vs. STDE, k=60, winter



→ Spread and error almost identical

KENDA ensemble mean analysis used as truth



L. Füzer, A. Walser, MCH



Summary of iSPPT experiment results

- iSPPT does not improve spread-error relationship in COSMO-E per se
- in contrast to Christensen et al. (2017) no tendencies from deep convection
- risk for unphysical values and stability issues (CFL) with larger ranges than +/- 0.9 for the random numbers
- model crashes with range > I, i.e. switch sign of tendency
- since we push the perturbations to the limits the additional flexibility provided by iSPPT is hardly of any use in COSMO-E
- best way to increase spread with (i)SPPT is to increase stdv_rn

L. Füzer, A. Walser, MCH





as model perturbations



 estimate to what extent the Analysis Increments can be considered as a proxy for model error to generate ensemble perturbations (Piccolo et al., 2017)







ICON-D2-EPS

- ~ 2.1 km icosahedral grid, 65 vertical levels
- can be interpolated to the rotated lat-lon grid of COSMO-D2
- 20 members
- 00, 03, 06, 09, 12, 15, 18, 21 UTC
- 27 hours (45 hours for 03 UTC) (planned: 48 hours)
- perturbation of
 - BC (ICON-EU-EPS)
 - physics (randomized pert.)
 - IC (KENDA)
- pre-operational: October 2019
- operational in Q4 2020







Partial ICON-D2-EPS – first experiments

- boundary conditions: forecasts of ICON-EU-EPS
- perturbed physics (randomized parameter selection)
 - 2-3 different values for each of 17 parameters
 - for each parameter separately: random selection of members which are perturbed
- NO perturbation of initial conditions (all members start from interpolated operational ICON-EU 3-hourly forecast which implies 'no assimiliation')
- 22nd April 23rd May 2019
- 00 and 12 UTC runs to 27 hours
- 20 members





Deutscher Wetterdienst Wetter und Klima aus einer Hand



BSS 00 and 12 UTC



ICON-D2-EPS

COSMO-D2-EPS







ICON-D2-EPS – next steps

- Combine BC and physics perturbations with KENDA for ICON-D2
- Q4 2019 : pre-operational suite
- Q4 2020 : operational start of ICON-LAM-EPS
- alternative/complementary: model for the model error ("EM-scheme")
- alternative/complementary: stochastic representation of shallow convection (physics group)

C. Gebhardt, DWD





Generation of ensemble products for thunderstorms







Outputs from COSMO-IT EPS (bechtold)



Outputs from COSMO-IT EPS (tiedtke)

75.5 5°E 6°E 7°E 8'E 14°E 15°E 16°E 17°E 18°E 19°E 5°E 6°E 7°E 8°E 9°E 10°E 11°E 12°E 13°E 14°E 15°E 16°E 17°E 10% 11°E 12°E 13°E 14 UTC 13 UTC significant changes in the «most probable» phenomenon F. Marcucci M. Alemanno COMET 6°E 8°E 9°E 10°E 5°E 6°E 7°E 8°E 9°E 10°E 11°E 16°E 18°E 19'E 5.15 796 CECMW

Vector Of Displacement for 'regular' fields





Some sixty SYNOP stations... not enough...



- 1. At all SYNOP stations: in defined vicinity (red circle), find the grid (x,y, horiz. arrow) with the forecast' value closest to the one measured at station (x_s,y_s, vert. arrow).
- 2. Calculate the displacement vector for single station as $(x-x_s, y-y_s, red arrow)$.
- 3. Calculate an overall VOD as mean for all the stations
- 4. Displace every value of fcst by the vector of displacement



Transition to ICON-LAM for ensembles

- At DWD: pre-operational ICON-D2 EPS in Q4 2019, operational in Q4 2020 (with KENDA ICs)
- At MCH: transition to ICON LAM in 2022. In Q1 2020 new operational system, COSMO-E 1 km, then start experiments with ICON-LAM for the ensemble
- At RHM: COSMO-Ru2-EPS is only available for the Sochi Olympics period (due to availability of BCs), too limited for extensive experiments with AMPT. Therefore, it is planned to first (starting in Q4 2019) implement the scheme in ICON(LAM) and continue there the testing of the model perturbation
- At IMGW: planning of transition to ICON-LAM in ensemble will come after the transition for the deterministic and the experience gained there
- At COMET: transition for ensemble depends on the possibility to run ICON-LAM on GPUs





Ensemble products for ICON-D2-EPS

- Provide an example of post-processing of the ICON-LAM fields by using the COSMO SW Fieldextra
- Fieldextra can manage the unstructured ICON grid, by using the icontools package
- The ICON-LAM fields are transformed to a regular grid before further post-processing: regular lation or rotated lation
- Interpolation is made with the radial basis function method. The nearest neighbour interpolation method does not work for ICON-LAM
- It is planned to provide in Fieldextra full support of the ICON grid
- Quantities:
 - Ensemble mean, minimum, maximum
 - Spread / interquartile range
 - Exceedance probabilities
 - Percentiles
 - Future: upscaled probabilities (10x10 grid points)

C. Marsigli, DWD



Fieldextra namelist – Tmin 6h



```
&GlobalResource
dictionary
                   = "%extradir%/dictionary icon.txt"
icon grid description = "%icongrid%,,
&GlobalSettings
                            = "icon"
default dictionary
default model name
                            = "icon"
& ModelSpecification
model name
                = "icon"
regrid method = "from unstructured grid,rbf"
                                                                      Here: COSMO-D2-EPS domain
&Process
in file="%infile TMM%"
in regrid target = "rotlation,%minlon%,%minlat%,%maxlon%,%maxlat%,%resion%,%reslat%,%pollon%,%pollat%",
in regrid method = "default",
out type="GRIB2"
out file= "%outfile% TMM,,
&Process in_field="TMIN_2M",toper="min,-5,0,hour"
                                                    minimum over last 6 hours
&Process out field="TMIN 2M", product category='eps mean' /
                                                                                   ensemble mean
&Process out field="TMIN 2M", product category='eps standard deviation'/
                                                                                   ensemble spread
&Process out field="TMIN 2M", product category='eps quantile', quantile=90 /
&Process out field="TMIN 2M", product category='eps probability',prob thigh=273.15,tag="T MIN273.15"/
```







Wind gusts - probability of excedance 14m/s



C. Marsigli, DWD







Final remarks

- work on model perturbation; coordination between DWD and RHM on the development of models for the model error
- some planned activities are on hold, due to human resources not available: parameter perturbation at Arpae, analysis-increment based perturbation at MCH
- link with WG5 and WG4 about verification of high-impact weather product (AWARE PP, joint session on Tuesday)
- transition to ICON-LAM: perform tests of ensemble perturbation in COSMO or in ICON?
- **SPRED Priority Project:** COSMO Technical Report published, DOI: 10.5676/DWDpub/nwv/cosmo-tr39

