# Topographic correction of radiation – relevance for snow cover

**Christian Steger** 

MeteoSwiss meeting 18<sup>th</sup> July 2019

## Introduction

## Snow cover evaluation (on European scale)

- 12/2.2 km 10-year COSMO simulation (ERA-Interim)
- Comparison of snow cover extent with MODIS snow product

## Topographic effects on surface radiation in complex terrain

- COSMO scheme that considers (subgrid) effect of topography on radiation
- First sensitivity experiments with SNOWPACK

- Preliminary conclusions
- Outlook, open questions and technical details

## **COSMO European-scale 12/2.2 km climate simulations**

## Details

- Simulation: 1999 2008
- ERA-Interim driven
- 2.2 km nested in 12 km
- Red boxes: analysis domains



#### Introduction

#### Results

## Snow cover duration bias (COSMO – MODIS)

соѕмо MODIS COSMO - MODIS ~ -30 -20 -10 -40

Alps (2.2 km, mean 2001 – 2008)



Europe - Snow covered days (Jan - Dec)

Europe (2.2 km, mean 2001 - 2008)

## Snow cover duration bias (COSMO – MODIS)



Introduction

# Snow cover duration bias (COSMO – MODIS)



# **Topographical correction of incoming surface radiation**

## **Motivation**

- Feedbacks to atmosphere (e.g. snowalbedo)
- Improve representation of near-surface variables (e.g. T<sub>s</sub>, T<sub>a</sub>) for downstream applications & models

## Introduction

- Issue of (vertically) 1-dimensional radiation treatment → no lateral interaction with e.g. topography
- Only a few RCM consider topographic effects on radiation → e.g. WRF (Arthur et al., 2018)

## Disadvantages

Scheme is not energy conservative





#### Tschierv, Val Müstair, 30th March 2019

Introduction

Results

## **Overview of radiation correction scheme**



## Test of scheme in 1-year COSMO simulation



Introduction

#### Results

## **Relevance of sub-grid topography**



Topography (m a.s.l.)

#### Introduction

#### Results

## **Topographic parameters – slope**



Introduction

Results

## **Topographic parameters – sky view factor**



Introduction

Results

## **Topographic parameters – horizon**



#### Introduction

#### **Results**

## First sensitivity experiment with SNOWPACK



Introduction

**Results** 

## First sensitivity experiment with SNOWPACK



Introduction

**Results** 

## Solar zenith angle dependency of (snow) albedo

## North-facing slope

## South-facing slope



## **Conclusions & Outlook**

#### Main conclusions

- SCD highly sensitive to incoming radiation → SCD benefits from improved representation of radiation fluxes in complex terrain
- Subgrid-scale radiation-correction required to reduce biases in SCD

## Outlook

- Use Terra-ML stand-alone model for sensitivity experiments
- Use updated Terra-ML stand-alone model with multilayer snow model (as soon as available)
- Relevance of topography-radiation-scheme not only limited to winter conditions

## **Open questions**

- Subgrid-scale → spatial aggregation of topographic parameters (slope, aspect and horizon) or aggregation of f<sub>cor</sub> (→ see technical details & issues)
- Violation of energy conservation in climate mode
  - Considerer modified SW-fluxes in albedo (→ compute "effective albedo" → issue: enhancement of ↓ SW limited by total incoming ↓ SW )
  - Terrain-reflected longwave radiation → remove "terrain-intercepted" part from ↑ LW
- Consider solar-zenith angle dependency of albedo?
- Application of high-resolution DEM → SRTM (empirically corrected → Buzzi 2008) or ASTER?

## **Technical details**

- Subgrid-scale part of scheme is not implemented in GPU-accelerated version of COSMO
- Further issues or challenges?



Supplementary material