

# **Priority Project T<sup>2</sup>RC<sup>2</sup> : Tuning the new radiation scheme**

Pavel Khain<sup>(1)</sup>, Harel Muskatel<sup>(1)</sup> and Uli Blahak<sup>(2)</sup>. *Thanks also to:* Yoav Levi<sup>(1)</sup>, Joerg Trentmann<sup>(2)</sup> and Nicolas Clerbaux<sup>(3)</sup> <sup>(1)</sup>IMS, <sup>(2)</sup>DWD, <sup>(3)</sup>RMI

## Abstract

Priority Project "Testing and Tuning of Revised Cloud Radiation Coupling" (T<sup>2</sup>RC<sup>2</sup>) aims at continuation of the development of the new cloud-radiation coupling scheme in the COSMO model (COSMO-cloudrad). The new scheme includes revised sub-grid scale clouds effect on radiation, detailed optical properties for liquid and frozen particles of different sizes, more accurate representation of aerosol effects on cloud microphysics, etc. From algorithmical point of view, the new scheme contains many cloud-radiation dependencies which contribution is described by about thirty parameters. Besides, different options are activated using ten logical switches. This makes the tuning of the scheme a difficult problem. The idealized COSMO framework was previously used to determine the parameters having particularly high influence on the radiative fluxes in the model (Khain et al., 2016). Here we utilize an "objective" parameters tuning (Voudouri et al., 2017; Khain et al., 2017) via comparison of real model forecasts against global radiation from CM-SAF satellite data (Müller et al., 2015). The experiments were performed for several month during 2016 over COSMO-DE domain. In this preliminary study we present parameters values of four subversions of COSMO-cloudrad, which optimize the global radiation over Offenbach, Lindenberg and Munich regions.

Calibratior	n method
Calibra	
domai	arbus
Amsterdam FLondon	1 Berlin
Brussels Brussels · Cologne	Wrocław
Paris	*Prague

Key switches luned continuous parameters		Calibrated versions			
Parameter meaning	Parameter	<b>Basic version</b>	CAMS version	SK basic version	SK-SAM version
Tegen/CAMS CCN	itype_aerosol	2	4	2	2
Use Segal Khain parametrization for the droplets number concentration	icloud_num_type_rad	1	1	2	2
Use constant SGS droplets effective radius	luse_reff_ini_c_as_reffc_sgs	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE
Use adiabatic profiles for droplets microphysics in convective SGS clouds	luse_qc_adiab_for_reffc_sgs	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	TRUE
LWC reduction due to SGS variability	radqcfact [0.4 0.5 0.9]	X	X	X	X
IWC reduction due to SGS variability	radqifact [0.4 0.5 0.9]	X	X	X	X
SGS droplets effective radius	reff_ini_c [3 5 20]∗10 <sup>-6</sup>	X	X		
SGS LWC scale factor	qvsatfact_sgscl_rad [0.005 0.01 0.02]	X	X	X	X
Droplets number concentration for radiation	cloud_num_rad [0.5 2 5]*10 <sup>8</sup>	X	X		
SGS droplets effective radius scale of adiabatic profile	reff_avg_fact [0.5 0.9 1]				X
SGS droplets concentration scale of adiabatic profile (clouds dilution)	qnc_avg_fact [0.1 0.38 1]				X



- COSMO-DE 2.8km 5.1 four "cloudrad" versions, driven by ICON-EU analyses.
- For each version several continuous parameters are tuned - cyan in table -

#### Meta-Model

- First, several parameters combinations are chosen according to specific design (Voudouri et al. 2017). For each combination, COSMO runs are performed for February, April, June and September *2016.*
- For every hour at every grid point, the forecast of global radiation is then interpolated in parameters space using 2<sup>nd</sup> order polynomial (with interaction terms).
- These interpolations yield a "guess" for the global radiation for any chosen parameters combination (Meta-Model).

#### **Optimization**

• The parameters space is then sampled by large number parameter

### **Global radiation sensitivity**

For every version and every parameter (X in table above) the averaged modeled (solid) global radiation for 12Z is plotted against the parameter value (keeping the other parameters default) and is compared to the averaged observed value (dashed).

- The global radiation is the lowest in February and the highest in June (obvious).
- Larger radgefact (strongly) reduces the global radiation (increases GS and SGS) LWC).
- radgifact has weaker effect (cloud ice).
- Larger <u>reff\_ini\_c</u> (strongly) increases the global radiation (larger droplets).
- Larger <u>qvsatfact\_sgscl\_rad</u> (strongly) reduces the global radiation (larger SGS LWC).
- Larger cloud\_num\_rad (slightly) reduces the global radiation (higher droplets concentration).
- reff\_avg\_fact has uncertain effect. Larger value increases the size of droplets in SGS cumulus (more transparent) but increases also their LWC (less





- combinations. For each combination the Meta-Model is verified against CM-SAF radiation at 5km global hourly resolution.
- The seek of the optimal parameters combination performed by algorithm (Khain et al. convergence 2017).
- parameters combination Finally the which yields the optimal Meta-Model guess is defined.
- transparent).
- Larger <u>qnc avg fact</u> (slightly) reduces the global radiation (lower dilution → larger SGS LWC in cumulus). Significant in convective seasons (April and June).
- Note: CCN for SK and SK-SAM versions are climatological (Tegen). The effective radius retrieval in SK version and the adiabatic profiles in SK-SAM version are currently fed by constant CCN. The huge sensitivity to CCN is still missing and big improvement may be expected when prognostic CCN will be included.
  - Feb. 2016 Apr. 2016 📕 Jun. 2016 🛛 **Sep. 2016**

### **Calibration results**







\_fact



6.0. TT - 1.1. LAN	0.547 (34.5%)	0.507 (13.3%)	0.496 (5.4%)	0.483 (8.4%)
radqcfact	0.55 (17%)	0.555 (13%)	0.573 (24.2%)	0.613 (42.7%)
	0.503 (4.1%)	0.492 (1.8%)	0.468 (9.4%)	0.496 (3.2%)
	0.571 (19.6%)	0.532 (22.8%)	0.495 (5.9%)	0.495 (7.3%)
radqifact	0.509 (14%)	0.476 (8.6%)	0.711 (89.4%)	0.481 (10.5%)
	0.493 (6.2%)	0.485 (4.9%)	0.581 (77.3%)	0.48 (6%)
	0.491 (1.1%)	0.508 (6.5%)	0.497 (8%)	0.503 (2.9%)
	0.486 (8.2%)	0.487 (1.4%)	0.487 (5.6%)	0.493 (9.5%)
	5.85 (7%)	5.965 (7.8%)		
reff_ini_c	5.785 (1.2%)	5.67 (3.3%)		
(* <b>10</b> <sup>-6</sup> )	5.87 (1.2%)	5.461 (3.3%)		and a first second s
( == )	5.838 (8%)	5.672 (4.9%)		- 0.0 - F. A. B. B. B.
	0.012 (72.2%)	0.014 (47.1%)	0.011 (71.2%)	0.012 (62.5%)
qvsatfact	0.01 (50.7%)	0.01 (25.1%)	0.008 (22.5%)	0.008 (16.2%)
sgscl rad	0.014 (44.1%)	0.012 (30%)	0.017 (15.1%)	0.01 (3.6%)
	0.009 (26.2%)	0.01 (36.4%)	0.011 (76.6%)	0.009 (26.3%)
	1.216 (11.2%)	1.673 (11.2%)		and the second
cloud_num	1.281 (1.3%)	1.274 (2.5%)		and the second
rad (*10 <sup>8</sup> )	1.331 (15%)	1.288 (5.6%)		
	1.3 (6.7%)	1.536 (12.8%)		A STACKS
				0.933 (2.9%)
reff_avg				0.923 (1.2%)
fact				0.94 (1%)
				0.933 (3.4%)
				0.513 (70.8%)
qnc_avg				0.32 (4.9%)



- Global radiation forecasts are optimized for 4 month during 2016 for 3 regions over Germany, separately. Optimization of several forecast fields over entire COSMO-DE domain and entire period to produce a single set of optimal parameters, is planned.
- The global radiation forecast improvement by various COSMO-cloudrad versions is similar. In summertime it reaches ~10%.
- "CAMS" version has little effect with respect to the "Basic version" because currently the prognostic CCN play role in the clear sky only.
- The "SK-SAM" version improves the "SK basic" in summertime due to larger role of SGS cumulus clouds over Germany.
- Larger improvement from SK parametrization is expected when prognostic CCN will be used for droplets activation (planned).

Optimal parameters values for Feb, Apr, Jun and Sep 2016 averaged over the 3 regions. In parenthesis – uncertainty with respect to parameter range.

#### References

Khain P., H. Muskatel and U. Blahak, 2016: Priority Project T<sup>2</sup>RC<sup>2</sup>: Determination of governing parameters in the new radiation scheme. CUS 2016.

Khain P., I. Carmona, A. Voudouri, E. Avgoustoglou, J-M. Bettems, F. Grazzini, P. Kaufmann, 2017. CALMO - Progress Report. COSMO technical report, 31.

Müller, Richard; Pfeifroth, Uwe; Träger-Chatterjee, Christine; Cremer, Roswitha; Trentmann, Jörg; Hollmann, Rainer, 2015: Surface Solar Radiation Data Set - Heliosat (SARAH) - Edition 1, Satellite Application Facility on Climate Monitoring, DOI:10.5676/EUM SAF CM/SARAH/V001.

Segal Y. and A. P. Khain, 2006: Dependence of droplet concentration on aerosol conditions in different cloud types: application to droplet concentration parameterization of aerosol conditions, J. Geophys. Res. Vol. 111, D15204.

Voudouri A., Khain P., Carmona I., Bellprat O., Grazzini F., Avgoustoglou E., Bettems J-M. and Kaufmann P., 2017: Objective calibration of numerical weather prediction models. Atm. Res. 190, pp 128-140.

0.898 (2.4%) 0.685 (52.4%)

qnc\_avg\_fact