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## MOTIVATION

$\oplus$ Towards the effort of model opimization and upon gauging the model sensitivity, when the number $\boldsymbol{n}$ of considered model parameters increases, the number of their pair combinations regarding their min and max values vastly increases $\left[O(2 n)^{2}\right]$.
$\oplus$ In CALMO_MAX project, considering an extensive period of COSMO Model testing of order 1 year over a horizontal grid of $\sim 1 \mathrm{~km}$, the number of tests becomes of $O\left[10^{2}(2 n)^{2}\right]$ and upon the accounting of intermediate parameter values regarding the use of a metamodel, the number of tests rises to $O\left[10^{3}(2 n)^{2}\right]$ or the equivalent of runs for 3 centuries for $n=5$ !!
$\oplus$ An efficient methodology to constrain the number of tests should be to indicate their impact according to some quantitative criteria and decide upon the resulting priority.
$\oplus$ The methodology is expected to be of practical value if two goals could be accomplished:

- The tests get a priority order and are performed according to it.
- If the number of tests becomes too extensive, the method should be flexible enough to be terminated at the priority that suits the available computational resources. The recommended truncation, however, needs to be supported by some valid scientific arguments regarding the relative importance of the tests that will be included against those that will be omitted.

The proposed methodology will be presented in STEPS and in reference to the web presentation in the $17^{\text {th }}$ COSMO General Meeting in Wrocław:
"Design and Evaluation of Sensitivity Tests for CALMO Project"
available at:
http://www.cosmo-model.org/content/consortium/generalMeetings/general2015/parallel.htm and referred as EA_COSMO_GM_2015 herein.

## STEPS OF THE METHOD

## STEP 1 <br> Decide the domain where the metamodel will be used for optimization.

In the application of the method, a domain that includes Switzerland and Northern Italy areas over a horizontal grid of $\sim 1 \mathrm{Km}$ is chosen, i.e.


## STEP 2 <br> Choose the parameters that will be optimized by the meta model.

| PARAMETER | INTERPRETATION | RANGE | TEST VALUES <br> (default) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| uc1 | Parameter controlling the vertical variation of critical <br> relative humidity for sub-grid cloud formation | $0.0-1.0$ | $0.0,0.3,1.0$ |
| radfac | Fraction of cloud water and ice <br> considered by the radiation scheme | $0.3-0.9$ | $0.3,0.6,0.9$ |
| rlam_heat | Scaling factor of the laminar boundary layer for heat | $0.1-2.0$ | $0.1,1.0,2.0$ |
| tkhmin <br> tkmmin | minimal value of diffusion coefficient for heat and <br> momentum (kept equal) | $\mathbf{0 . 1 - 1 . 0}$ | $0.1,0.4,1.0$ |
| v0snow | factor in the terminal velocity for snow | $10.0-\mathbf{3 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 , 2 0 . 0 , 3 0 . 0}$ |

But how the priority order is going to be given?

## This is presented in the following steps ...

## STEP 3:

$\oplus$ Decide on the model variables that will be used and tabulate them according to their importance denoted as ranking .
$\oplus$ At this stage of the work, this step is subjective but in my opinion, it can be done on meteorological arguments based on the internal knowledge of the model. The variables that are recommended below are as given in EA_COSMO_GM_2015 but with some rearrangement according to their subjectively estimated importance.
$\oplus$ The subjective criteria on making this choice are not of importance for the presentation of the method and can be discussed at a later stage.

1. < TOT_PREC>: 0-24 hr period accummulated precipitation ( $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ ).
2. < TMIN_2M>: Minimum 2 m temperature $0-24 \mathrm{hr}$ periods (every hr ).
3. <TMAX_2M>: Maximum $2 m$ temperature for 0-24 hr periods (every hr)
4. <T_2M >: $2 m$ temperature for 0-24 hr periods.
5. < TD_2M >: Dew point temperature for 0-24 hr periods.
6. < SNOW_GSP >: 0-24 hr periods accumulated grid-scale snow ( $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ )
7. < CLCM >: Medium cloud cover (\%) average of 1 hr time steps $03-24 \mathrm{hs}$.
8. < CLCL >: Low cloud cover (\%) average of 1 hr time steps $03-24 \mathrm{hs}$.
9. < CLCH > : High cloud cover (\%) average of 1 hr time steps $03-24 \mathrm{hs}$.

## STEP 4:

Define the sensitivities $(\mathrm{S})$ of these parameters. This is also a matter of choice. The choice made in EA_COSMO_GM_2015 is followed.

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{\langle P\rangle}(\%)=\frac{\langle P\rangle_{\text {TEST }}-\langle P\rangle_{\text {DEFAULT }}}{\langle P\rangle_{\text {DEFAULT }}} \bullet 100 \\
<P>\text { stands for }<\text { SNOWGSP }>\text { or }<\text { TOTPREC }>\text { or }<\text { CLCL }>\text { or }<\text { CLCM }>\text { or }<\text { CLCH }> \\
S_{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\text { TMIN } 2 m \\
T M A X 2 m
\end{array}\right]}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
<\text { TMIN } 2 m> \\
<T M A X 2 m>
\end{array}\right]_{\text {TEST }}-\left[\begin{array}{l}
<\text { TMIN } 2 m> \\
<\text { TMAX } 2 m>
\end{array}\right]_{\text {DEFAULT }}
\end{gathered}
$$

## STEP 5:

$\oplus$ Perform all the model runs for the dates chosen for all the default values of the parameters chosen and produce at least all the considered variables mentioned before.
$\oplus$ Perform all the model runs for the dates chosen for all the max values of the parameters chosen and produce at least all the considered variables mentioned before.

- Perform all the model runs for the dates chosen for all the min values of all the parameters chosen and produce at least all the considered variables mentioned before.

In what follows, the results from CALMO_MAX are used for the whole 2013.

## STEP 6:

Present the sensitivity of the variable of the highest ranking in a spider-type graph, i.e TOT_PREC.


## Analysis of STEP 6

$\oplus$ The red polygon refers to the zero sensitivity "axis".
$\oplus$ The sensitivities are depicted with the green bullets 0 .
$\oplus$ The dashed polygon line that connects the dots, although not neccessary in the present form of the method, denotes optically the overall sensitivity for the considered meteorological variable especially to the degree that it is convex/concave and mainly in reference to the zero sensitivity red polygon.


## STEP 7:

$\oplus$ Evaluate the absolute values of the pair differences for all different parameter sensitivities given in the spider-type graphs.
$\oplus$ Sort these values and place them next to their corresponding spider graph «priority list».
$\nmid$ A value of «+1» or «-1» is also addressed to these differences depending whether the sensitivities have the same or different signs (i.e., they are at the same or opposite side of the sensitivity axis.
$\oplus$ The differences with the highest absolute value and sensitivities with different signs refer to the first group of combination of parameters with the highest priority.
$\oplus$ The differences with the highest absolute value and sensitivities with the same sign refer to the second group of combination of parameters with the highest priority.
$\oplus$ The same process is followed for all the considered meteorological fieds according to their estimated importance.

## EXAMPLE OF STEP 7



| TOT_PREC |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LUC1-LVOSN: | 8,825 | -1 |
| LRADFAC-LVOSN | 7,189 | -1 |
| HTKHM-LVOSN: | 6,847 | -1 |
| LRLAM-LVOSN: | 6,01 | 1 |
| LTKHM-LVOSN: | 5,287 | 1 |
| HUC1-LVOSN: | 5,287 | 1 |
| HRLAM-LVOSN: | 5,287 | 1 |
| HRADFAC-LVOSN | 4,564 | 1 |
| HRADFAC-LUC1: | 4,261 | -1 |
| HRADFAC-HVOSI | 4,185 | -1 |
| LTKHM-LUC1: | 3,538 | -1 |
| HRLAM-LUC1: | 3,538 | -1 |
| LTKHM-HVOSN: | 3,462 | -1 |
| HUC1-HVOSN: | 3,462 | -1 |
| HRLAM-HVOSN: | 3,462 | -1 |
| LRLAM-LUC1: | 2,815 | -1 |
| LRLAM-HVOSN: | 2,739 | -1 |
| HRADFAC-HTKHI | 2,283 | -1 |
| HTKHM-LUC1: | 1,978 | 1 |
| LRADFAC-LTKHN | 1,902 | -1 |
| LRADFAC-HUC1: | 1,902 | -1 |
| LRADFAC-HRLAN | 1,902 | -1 |
| HTKHM-HVOSN: | 1,902 | 1 |
| LRADFAC-LUC1: | 1,636 | 1 |
| LRADFAC-HVOSN | 1,56 | 1 |
| HTKHM-HUC1: | 1,56 | -1 |
| HRLAM-HTKHM: | 1,56 | -1 |
| HRADFAC-LRLAN | 1,446 | 1 |
| LRADFAC-LRLAN | 1,179 | -1 |
| LRLAM-HTKHM: | 0,837 | -1 |
| LRLAM-LTKHM: | 0,723 | 1 |
| LRLAM-HUC1: | 0,723 | 1 |
| HRADFAC-LTKHI | 0,723 | 1 |
| HRADFAC-HUC1: | 0,723 | 1 |
| HRADFAC-HRLAI | 0,723 | 1 |
| LRADFAC-HTKHI | 0,342 | 1 |
| LUC1-HVOSN: | 0,076 | 1 |
| LTKHM-HUC1: | 0 | 1 |
| HRLAM-LTKHM: | 0 | 1 |
| HRLAM-HUC1: | 0 | 1 |

Nevertheless ,the spider-graphs provide a fair indication of the most sensitive parameters. However, it is higly recommended to put special care on the axis scaling.

PANORAMA OF SENSITIVITIES OVER THE WHOLE 2013


## PANORAMA OF SENSITIVITIES OVER THE WHOLE 2013



It can be seen that T2m, Tmax_2m, Tmin_2m, T2m_18UTC, T2m_06UTC display essentially the same sensitivity.

Practically, the most sensitive parameter combinations can be directly addressed from the spider-graphs !
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Under the previous analysis, the resulting priority board depicts all sensitivities and further testing strategies can be developed.

PRIORITY BOARD FOR THE WHOLE 2013

| T_2M |  |  | TD_2M |  |  | TOT_PREC |  |  | SNOW_GSP |  |  | CLCL |  |  | CLCM |  |  | CLCH |  |  | CLCT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HTKHM-LUC1: | 0,247 |  | HRLAM-HUC1: | 0,06 | -1 | LUC1-LVOSN: | 8,825 | -1 | HRADFAC-LVOSN: | 16,02 |  | LUC1: | 25,344 | -1 | UC | 19,436 |  | FAC-LUC1: | 14,334 |  | UC1 | 13,747 |  |
| HRADFAC-HTKHM: | 0,227 | -1 | HTKHM-HUC1: | 0,054 | -1 | LRADFAC-LVOSN | 7,189 | -1 | LTKHM-LVOSN: | 14,837 | -1 | HRADFAC-LUC1: | 20,641 | 1 | LUC1-LVOSN: | 18,766 | -1 | HRADFAC-HTKHM | 8,969 | -1 | HRADFAC-LUC1: | 12,926 | 1 |
| LRLAM-HTKHM: | 0,167 | - | LRADFAC-HRLAM: | 0,053 | -1 | HTKHM-LVOSN: | 6,847 | 1 | LUC1-LVOSN: | 14,54 | -1 | LRLAM-LUC1: | 20,388 | -1 | HRADFAC-LUC1 | 18,208 | -1 | HRADFAC-HVOSN | 8,496 | 1 | LUC1-LVOSN: | 11,835 |  |
| HTKHM-HVOSN: | 0,159 | -1 | LRADFAC-LUC1: | 0,047 | -1 | LRLAM-LVOSN: | 6,01 |  | LRLAM-LVOSN: | 14,244 |  | LUC1-LVOSN: | 20,053 |  | LRLAM-LUC1: | 18,083 | -1 | HRADFAC-HRLAM | 8,146 |  | LRLAM-LUC1: | 11,579 |  |
| LRADFAC-LUC1: | 0,155 | -1 | LRADFAC-HTKHM: | 0,047 | -1 | LTKHM-LVOSN: | 5,287 |  | HUC1-LVOSN: | 14,244 |  | LUC1-HVOSN: | 20,053 |  | HRLAM-LUC1: | 99 |  | HRADFAC-LRLAM: | 56 |  | LUC1-HVOSN: | 11,337 |  |
| LRADFAC-LTKHM: | 0,155 |  | HRADFAC-HUC1: | 0,046 | -1 | HUC1-LVOSN: | 5,287 |  | HRLAM-LVOSN: | 13,947 |  | HRLAM-LUC1: | 19,714 |  | LRADFAC-LUC1: | 17,85 |  | LRADFAC-LVOSN | 7,97 |  | HRLAM-LUC1: | 11,297 |  |
| HRLAM-HTKHM: | 0,144 |  | RLAM-LUC1: | 0,041 | -1 | HRLAM-LVOSN: | 5,28 | 1 | HTKHM-LVOSN: | 13,057 |  | LRADFAC-LUC1: | 19,599 | 1 | LUC1-HVOSN: | 17,753 | 1 | LUC1-LVOSN: | 7,876 | -1 | LRADFAC-LUC1 | 10,371 |  |
| LTKHM-HUC1: | 0,136 | -1 | LRLAM-HTKHM: | 0,041 | -1 | HRADFAC-LVOSN | 4,564 | 1 | LRADFAC-LVOSN: | 12,76 |  | HTKHM-HUC1: | 13,052 | -1 | HTKHM-LUC1: | 15,553 | 1 | LRADFAC-HUC1: | 7,803 | 1 | HTKHM-LUC1: | 7,733 |  |
| LUC1-LVOSN: | 0,127 |  | HRLAM-HVOSN: | 0,041 |  | HRADFAC-LUC1: | 4,261 | 1 | LRADFAC-HVOSN: | 6,825 |  | HTKHM-LUC1: | 12,151 |  | HTKHM-HUC1: | 7,233 |  | HRADFAC-LTKHM | 7,623 |  | HTKHM-HUC1: | 6,498 | 1 |
| LTKHM-LVOSN: | 0,12 | -1 | LUC1-HVOSN: | 0,035 | -1 | HRADFAC-HVOS: | 4,185 | -1 | HTKHM-HVOSN: | 6,528 |  | HRADFAC-HTKHM: | 8,49 | -1 | HUC1-HVOSN: | 5,03 |  | LRADFAC-LTKHM | 6,81 |  | HRADFAC-HTKHM | 5,19 | 1 |
| HTKHM-LVOSN: | 0,12 |  | HTKHM-HVOSN: | 0,035 |  | LTKHM-LUC1: | 3,53 | -1 | HRLAM-HVOSN: | 5,63 |  | LRLAM-HTKHM: | 8,237 | -1 | LRADFAC-HUC1: | 4,933 | -1 | LTKHM-LUC1: | 6,71 |  | HTKHM-LVOSN: | 4,102 | -1 |
| HRADFAC-HUC1: | 0,116 | -1 | HRLAM-LTKHM: | 0,034 |  | HRLAM-LUC1: | 3,538 | 1 | LRLAM-HVOSN: | 341 |  | HTKHM-LVOSN: | 7,902 |  | HRLAM-HUC1: | 4,817 | -1 | HRADFAC-HUC1 | 6,631 |  | LRADFAC-HUC1: | 3,86 | -1 |
| HTKHM-HUC1: | 0,111 |  | HUC1-LVOSN: | 0,033 |  | LTKHM-HVOSN: | 3,462 | -1 | HUC1-HVOSN: | 5,341 |  | HTKHM-HVOSN: | ,902 |  | M-HUC1: | 4,703 |  | HRADFAC-LVOSN | 6,458 |  | LAM-HTKHM: | 源 | 1 |
| HRADFAC-LVOSN: | 0,107 |  | HRADFAC-LRLAM: | 033 |  | HUC1-HVOSN: | 3,46 | - | LUC1-HVOSN: | 5,045 |  | HRLAM-HTKHM: | 7,563 |  | HRADFAC-HUC1: | 4,57 |  | LRADFAC-LRLAN | 6,37 |  | HTKHM-HVOSN: | 3,604 |  |
| HRLAM-LUC1: | 0,103 |  | LTKHM-LUC1: | 0,028 |  | HRLAM-HVOSN: | 3,462 | -1 | LTKHM-HVOSN: | 4,748 |  | LRADFAC-HTKHM: | 7,448 |  | HUC1-LVOSN: | 4,02 |  | LRADFAC-HRLAM | 6,2 |  | HRLAM-HTKHM: | 3,564 |  |
| HRLAM-LTKHM: | 0,103 |  | HRLAM-LVOSN: | 0,027 |  | LRLAM-LUC1: | 2,815 | -1 | HRADFAC-HVOSN: | 3,561 |  | LRADFAC-LTKHM: | 5,745 | -1 | LTKHM-HUC1: | 3,3 |  | LRLAM-LUC1: | 6,27 |  | LRADFAC-LTKHM | 3,37 | 1 |
| LRADFAC-HTKHM: | 0,092 |  | HRADFAC-HVOSN | 027 | -1 | LRLAM-HVOSN: | 2,739 | -1 | HRADFAC-HTKHM: | 967 |  | HRLAM-LTKHM: | 5,63 | -1 | -LVOSN: | 3,213 | -1 | HRLAM-LUC1: | 6,18 |  | HRLAM-HUC1: | 2,934 | -1 |
| LUC1-HVOSN: | 0,088 |  | LTKHM-HUC1: | 0,026 | -1 | HRADFAC-HTKH | 2,283 | -1 | LRADFAC-LTKHM: | 2,077 | -1 | LRADFAC-HUC1: | 5,604 | -1 | HRADFAC-HTKHM | 2,655 | -1 | LRADFAC-HVOSN | 5,938 |  | HUC1-HVOSN: | , 894 | 1 |
| LTKHM-HVOSN: | 0,088 |  | LRADFAC-LVOSN: | 0,026 |  | HTKHM-LUC1: | 1,978 |  | HRADFAC-HRLAM: | 2,077 |  | HRLAM-HUC1: | 5,489 | -1 | LRLAM-HTKHM: | 2,53 |  | LUC1-HVOSN: | 5,83 |  | LRLAM-HUC1: | 2,652 |  |
| HRADFAC-HRLAM: | 0,083 |  | LUC1-LVOSN: | 0,021 |  | LRADFAC-LTKHM | 1,902 | -1 | LRADFAC-LUC1: | 1,78 |  | LTKHM-LVOSN: | 5,291 | -1 | HRLAM-HTKHM: | 2,416 |  | LRADFAC-HTKH | 5,465 |  | LRADFAC-HTKHM | 2,638 |  |
| LRLAM-LUC1: | 0,08 |  | HTKHM-LVOSN: | 021 |  | LRADFAC-HUC1: | 1,902 | -1 | HRADFAC-LRLAM: | 1,78 |  | LTKHM-HVOSN: | 5,291 | -1 | LRADFAC-HTKHM: | 2,29 |  | HTKHM-LUC1: | 5,36 |  | HRLAM-LTKHM: | 2,4 | 1 |
| LRLAM-LT | 0,08 |  | LRLAM-LVOSN: | 0,02 | L | LRADFAC-HRLA | 1,902 | -1 | HRADFAC-HUC1: | 1,78 |  | HUC1-LVOSN: | 5,15 | -1 | HTKHM-HVOSN: | 2,2 |  | HTKHM-LVOSN: | 2,511 |  | HVOS | 2,41 | 1 |
| LRADFAC-LRLAM: | 0,075 | -1 | HRADFAC-LTKHM: | 0,02 |  | HTKHM-HVOSN: | 1,902 |  | LRADFAC-LRLAM: | 1,484 |  | HUC1-HVOSN: | 5,15 | -1 | LTKHM-HVOSN: | 1,683 | -1 | HTKHM-HUC1: | 2,338 |  | HUC1-LVOSN: | 2,396 |  |
| HRADFAC-HVOSN: | 0,068 |  | LRADFAC-LTKHM: | 0,019 |  | LRADFAC-LUC1: | 1,636 |  | LRADFAC-HUC1: | 1,484 |  | LRLAM-LTKHM: | 4,956 |  | LRADFAC-LTKHM: | 1,585 |  | HUC1-HVOSN: | 1,865 |  | LRLAM-LTKHM: | 2,168 |  |
| LRADFAC-HVOSN: | 0,067 | -1 | HUC1-HVOSN: | 0,019 |  | LRADFAC-HVOSN | 1,56 |  | HRADFAC-LUC1: | 1,48 |  | LRLAM-HUC1: | 4,815 |  | HRLAM-LTKHM: | 1,46 | -1 | HRLAM-LVOSN: | 1,688 |  | LTKHM-LVOSN: | 1,912 |  |
| HRADFAC-LRLAM: | 0,0 |  | HRADFAC-HRLAM: | 0,014 |  | HTKHM-HUC1: | 1,5 | -1 | HTKHM-LUC1: | 1,483 |  | HRADFAC-LTKHM: | 4,703 |  | LRLAM-LTKHM | 1,35 |  | LRLAM-LVOSN: | 1,5 |  | HRADFAC-HRLA | 1,62 | 1 |
| LRLAM-HUC1: | 0,056 | -1 | LRL | 013 |  | HR | 1,56 | 1 | LRL | 1,187 |  | HRADFAC-HUC1: | 4,562 |  | HRADFAC-LTKHM | 1,22 |  | HRLAM-HUC1: | 1,515 |  | dfac-hVosn | 1,589 | 1 |
| LRADFAC-HRLAM: | 0,052 |  | LRLAM-HUC1: | 0,013 |  | HRADFAC-LRLAN | 1,446 |  | LRADFAC-HRLAM | 1,187 |  | HRADFAC-HRLAM: | 0,927 | -1 | LRADFAC-LVOSN: | 0,915 | -1 | LRLAM-HUC1: | 1,425 |  | LRADFAC-LVOSN: | 1,464 | 1 |
| HUC1-HVOSN: | 0,04 |  | HRADFAC-LVOSN: | 0,013 |  | LRADFAC-LRLAM | 1,179 | -1 | HTKHM-HUC1: | 1,187 |  | LRADFAC-LRLAM: | 0,789 | -1 | HRLAM-LVOSN: | 0,79 | -1 | LTKHM-LVOSN: | 1,16 |  | HRADFAC-LRLAM | 1,347 |  |
| LRLAM-LVOSN: | 0,04 | -1 | LRADFAC-HVOSN: | 0,012 |  | LRLAM-HTKHM: | 0,837 | -1 | HRADFAC-LTKHM: | 1,181 |  | HRADFAC-LVOSN: | 0,588 | -1 | LRLAM-LVOSN: | 0,68 |  | LTKHM-HUC1: | 0,992 |  | HRADFAC-HUC1: | 1,30 |  |
| HRLAM-HUC1: | 0,033 |  | HRADFAC-LUC1: | 0,008 |  | LRLAM-LTKHM: | 0,723 |  | HRLAM-LTKHM: | 0,89 |  | HRADFAC-HVOSN: | 0,588 | -1 | LTKHM-LVOSN: | 0,6 |  | LRLAM-HTKHM: | 0,913 |  | LRADFAC-LRLAM: | 1,208 | 1 |
| LRADFAC-LVOSN: | 028 |  | 1 HRADFAC-HTKHM | 0,008 |  | LRLAM-HUC1: | 0,723 |  | HRLAM-HTKHM: | 0,89 |  | LRADFAC-LVOSN: | 0,454 |  | HRADFAC-LVOSN: | 0,55 |  | LTKHM-HVOSN: | 0,873 |  | HRADFAC-LVOSN | 1,091 |  |
| HRLAM-LVOSN: | 0,024 |  | LTKHM-LVOSN: | 0,007 |  | HRADFAC-LTKHN | 0,723 |  | LTKHM-HUC1: | 0,593 |  | LRADFAC-HVOSN: | 0,454 |  | HRADFAC-HVOSN: | 0,455 | -1 | HRLAM-HTKHM: | 0,823 |  | LRADFAC-HVOSN: | 0,966 |  |
| HRADFAC-LUC1: | 0,02 |  | 1 LTKHM-HVOSN: | 0,007 |  | HRADFAC-HUC1: | ,723 |  | LRLAM-LTKHM: | 0,593 |  | HRLAM-LVOSN: | 0,339 |  | LRLAM-HVOSN: | 0,33 | -1 | HRLAM-LTKHM: | 0,523 |  | LRADFAC-HRLAM: | 0,920 |  |
| HRADFAC-LTKHM: | 0,02 |  | 1 LRADFAC-HUC1: | 0,007 |  | HRADFAC-HRLAI | 0,723 |  | HRLAM-LUC1: | 0,593 |  | HRLAM-HVOSN: | 0,339 |  | HRADFAC-HRLAM: | 0,23 | -1 | HTKHM-HVOSN: | 0,473 |  | HRADFAC-LTKHM | 0,821 |  |
| LRADFAC-HUC1: | 0,019 |  | 1 LRLAM-HVOSN: | 0,006 |  | LRADFAC-HTKHN | 0,342 |  | LTKHM-LUC1: | 0,297 |  | LRLAM-LVOSN: | 0,335 | -1 | LRADFAC-LRLAM: | 0,232 | -1 | LRLAM-HVOSN: | 0,44 |  | HRLAM-LVOSN: | 0,538 | 1 |
| HRLAM-HVOSN: | 0,015 |  | LRADFAC-LRLAM: | 0,006 |  | LUC1-HVOSN: | 0,076 | 1 | LRADFAC-HTKHM | 0,297 |  | LRLAM-HVOSN: | 0,335 | -1 | HRLAM-HVOSN: | 0,216 |  | LRLAM-LTKHM: | 0,433 |  | LTKHM-HUC1: | 0,484 |  |
| HUC1-LVOSN: | 0,009 |  | 1 HRLAM-LUC1: | 0,006 |  | LTKHM-HUC1: | 0 |  | HRLAM-HUC1: | 0,29 |  | HRADFAC-LRLAM: | 0,253 | 1 | HRADFAC-LRLAM: | 0,125 |  | HRLAM-HVOSN: | 0,35 |  | LRLAM-LVOSN: | 0,256 |  |
| LRLAM-HVOSN: | 0,008 |  | 1 HRLAM-HTKHM: | 0,006 |  | HRLAM-LTKHM: | 0 |  | LRLAM-LUC1: | 0,296 |  | LTKHM-HUC1: | 0,141 |  | LRADFAC-HRLAM: | 0,118 |  | HUC1-LVOSN: | 0,173 |  | LRLAM-HVOSN: | 0,242 | 1 |
| LTKHM-LUC1: | 0 |  | HTKHM-LUC1: | 0 |  | HRLAM-HUC1: | 0 |  | LRLAM-HUC1: | 0 |  | LRADFAC-HRLAM: | 0,115 |  | LRADFAC-HVOSN: | 0,098 |  | LRADFAC-LUC1: | 0,1 |  | HRLAM-HVOSN: | 0,04 |  |

## EXTENSION OF THE METHODOLOGY:

The above analysis can be readily used to get important insight to seasonal or monthly sensitivity through modified spider graphs.

## CLCH <br> Monthly Sensitivities

! Different scales. The graph with the largest scale range denotes the most sensitive parameter!


## CLCH (Yearly vs Monthly Sensitivities)



Euripides Avgoustoglou HNMS, CALMO_MAX Workshop, January $7^{\text {th }} 2019$

## Monthly Sensitivities combined

## CLCH



## Yearly and Monthly Sensitivities combined

## CLCH
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## CLCM (Yearly vs Monthly Sensitivities)

LVOSN LRADFAC
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## Monthly Sensitivities combined



## Yearly and Monthly Sensitivities combined

## CLCM
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## CLCL (Yearly vs Monthly Sensitivities)
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## Monthly Sensitivities combined

## CLCL
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## Yearly and Monthly Sensitivities combined

## CLCL
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## CLCT (Yearly vs Monthly Sensitivities)
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## Monthly Sensitivities combined

## CLCT
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## Yearly and Monthly Sensitivities combined



## SNOW_GSP Monthly Sensitivities



## SNOW_GSP (Yearly vS Monthly Sensitivities)



## Monthly Sensitivities combined

## SNOW_GSP



## Yearly and Monthly Sensitivities combined
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## TOT_PREC (Yearly vs Monthly Sensitivities)



## Monthly Sensitivities combined

## TOT_PREC
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## Yearly and Monthly Sensitivities combined
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## T2m (Yearly vs Monthly Sensitivities)



## Monthly Sensitivities combined

## T2m



## Yearly and Monthly Sensitivities combined



## Tmin2m Monthly Sensitivities



Euripides Avgoustoglou HNMS, CALMO_MAX Workshop, January $7^{\text {th }} 2019$

## Tmin2m (Yearly vs Monthly Sensitivities)
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## Monthly Sensitivities combined



## Yearly and Monthly Sensitivities combined



## T2m06UTC Monthly Sensitivities



Euripides Avgoustoglou HNMS, CALMO_MAX Workshop, January 7th 2019

## T2m06UTC (Yearly vs Monthly Sensitivities)



## Monthly Sensitivities combined



## Yearly and Monthly Sensitivities combined



## Tmax 2 m Monthly Sensitivities



## Tmax2m (Yearly vs Monthly Sensitivities)
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## Monthly Sensitivities combined



## Yearly and Monthly Sensitivities combined



## T2m18UTC Monthly Sensitivities



## T2m18UTC (Yearly vs Monthly Sensitivities)
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## Monthly Sensitivities combined

## T2m18UTC



## Yearly and Monthly Sensitivities combined



## Td <br> Monthly Sensitivities
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## Td (Yearly vs Monthly Sensitivities)



## Monthly Sensitivities combined

## Td



## Yearly and Monthly Sensitivities combined



## PANORAMA OF MONTHLY SENSITIVITIES FOR 2013

## JANUARY




## FEBRUARY

CLCH

## MARCH




## APRIL



## MAY



JUNE
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JULY


## AUGUST



## SEPTEMBER



## OCTOBER



## NOVEMBER



## DECEMBER



# APPLICATION OF THE METHODOLOGY TO OBSERVATIONS A TENTATIVE DRAFT 

Mean values for 350days out of 365 days in 2013 over observation «positions» (provided by IMS)

|  | Obs | Simulation | Simulation | Simulation | Simulation | Simulation | Simulation | Simulation | Simulation | Simulation | Simulation | Simulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Obs | Default | LTKHM | HTKHM | LRLAM | HRLAM | LVOSN | HVOSN | LRADFAC | HRADFAC | LUC1 | HUC1 |
| Daily 2 m Tmax [c] | 9,5554236 | 8,3071742 | 8,1881924 | 8,439952 | 5,1267706 | 8,3117172 | 8,3126452 | 8,2809631 | 8,4094556 | 8,1257207 | 8,3634544 | 8,2612675 |
| Daily 2 m Tmin [c] | 2,0136913 | 2,9899176 | 2,8452023 | 3,1372939 | 0,076456602 | 2,9119855 | 2,9971762 | 2,9640281 | 3,0291088 | 2,8938131 | 2,8072147 | 3,002064 |
| Daily mean of Tdew | 5,2250654 | 5,2899909 | 5,2708491 | 5,2671631 | 2,079497 | 5,2376039 | 5,2816001 | 5,2983604 | 5,3134511 | 5,2599145 | 5,2474442 | 5,2993684 |
| Daily 2m Tdew maximum [c] | 7,7133257 | 7,7132891 | 7,691788 | 7,6972453 | 4,5032609 | 7,6814525 | 7,7065516 | 7,7252926 | 7,7544267 | 7,6638358 | 7,7154519 | 7,7156607 |
| Daily 2 m Tdew minimum [ c ] | 2,4219839 | 2,6729404 | 2,6597769 | 2,646109 | -0,53189374 | 2,5949414 | 2,6647681 | 2,6785359 | 2,678983 | 2,6648828 | 2,6042513 | 2,6878347 |
| SunDuration [\%] | 42,843983 | 46,861793 | 46,323687 | 48,263598 | 43,846275 | 47,126855 | 46,814391 | 46,903763 | 48,340386 | 44,880147 | 50,88742 | 45,90906 |
| Daily Precipiation [mm/day] | 1,9256762 | 3,4840112 | 3,4556055 | 3,5157493 | 0,6542512 | 3,4541211 | 3,3635686 | 3,5232342 | 3,5296541 | 3,4212351 | 3,5457649 | 3,4625179 |

## Differences in reference to observations

|  | Obs | Default-Obs | LTKHM-Obs | HTKHM-Obs | LRLAM-Obs | HRLAM-Obs | LVOSN-Obs | HVOSN-Obs | LRADFAC-Obs | HRADFAC-Ob | HUC1-Obs | LUC1-Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daily 2 m Tmax [c] | 9,5554236 | -1,2482494 | -1,3672312 | -1,1154716 | -4,428653 | -1,2437064 | -1,2427784 | -1,2744605 | -1,145968 | -1,4297029 | -1,1919692 | -1,2941561 |
| Daily 2 m Tmin [c] | 2,0136913 | 0,9762263 | 0,831511 | 1,1236026 | -1,937234698 | 0,8982942 | 0,9834849 | 0,9503368 | 1,0154175 | 0,8801218 | 0,7935234 | 0,9883727 |
| Daily mean of Tdew | 5,2250654 | 0,0649255 | 0,0457837 | 0,0420977 | -3,1455684 | 0,0125385 | 0,0565347 | 0,073295 | 0,0883857 | 0,0348491 | 0,0223788 | 0,074303 |
| Daily 2m Tdew maximum [c] | 7,7133257 | -3,66E-05 | -0,0215377 | -0,0160804 | -3,2100648 | -0,0318732 | -0,0067741 | 0,0119669 | 0,041101 | -0,0494899 | 0,0021262 | 0,002335 |
| Daily 2m Tdew minimum [c] | 2,4219839 | 0,2509565 | 0,237793 | 0,2241251 | -2,95387764 | 0,1729575 | 0,2427842 | 0,256552 | 0,2569991 | 0,2428989 | 0,1822674 | 0,2658508 |
| SunDuration [\%] | 42,843983 | 4,01781 | 3,479704 | 5,419615 | 1,002292 | 4,282872 | 3,970408 | 4,05978 | 5,496403 | 2,036164 | 8,043437 | 3,065077 |
| Daily Precipiation [mm/day] | 1,9256762 | 1,558335 | 1,5299293 | 1,5900731 | -1,271425 | 1,5284449 | 1,4378924 | 1,597558 | 1,6039779 | 1,4955589 | 1,6200887 | 1,5368417 |

## Sensitivities in reference to observations

|  | Obs | Default | LTKHM | HTKHM | LRLAM | HRLAM | LVOSN-Obs | HVOSN-Obs | LRADFAC | HRADFAC | HUC1 | LUC1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daily 2m Tmax [c] | 0 | -1,2482494 | -1,3672312 | -1,1154716 | -4,428653 | -1,2437064 | -1,2427784 | -1,2744605 | -1,145968 | -1,4297029 | -1,1919692 | -1,2941561 |
| Daily 2 m Tmin [c] | 0 | 0,9762263 | 0,831511 | 1,1236026 | -1,937234698 | 0,8982942 | 0,9834849 | 0,9503368 | 1,0154175 | 0,8801218 | 0,7935234 | 0,9883727 |
| Daily mean of Tdew | 0 | 0,0649255 | 0,0457837 | 0,0420977 | -3,1455684 | 0,0125385 | 0,0565347 | 0,073295 | 0,0883857 | 0,0348491 | 0,0223788 | 0,074303 |
| Daily 2 m Tdew maximum [c] | 0 | -3,66E-05 | -0,0215377 | -0,0160804 | -3,2100648 | -0,0318732 | -0,0067741 | 0,0119669 | 0,041101 | -0,0494899 | 0,0021262 | 0,002335 |
| Daily 2 m Tdew minimum [c] | 0 | 0,2509565 | 0,237793 | 0,2241251 | -2,95387764 | 0,1729575 | 0,2427842 | 0,256552 | 0,2569991 | 0,2428989 | 0,1822674 | 0,2658508 |
| SunDuration [\%] | 0 | 0,093777696 | 0,081218032 | 0,126496526 | 0,023393997 | 0,099964375 | 0,092671309 | 0,094757296 | 0,128288796 | 0,04752509 | 0,18773784 | 0,071540431 |
| Daily Precipiation [mm/day] | 0 | 0,809240411 | 0,794489385 | 0,825721946 | -0,660248592 | 0,793718539 | 0,746694797 | 0,829608841 | 0,832942683 | 0,7766409 | 0,84130899 | 0,798078981 |
| REFERENCE |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Sensitivity graphs



Tdew_max sensitivity vs obs Default
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## CONCLUSIONS

$\oplus$ The spider graphs with the largest parameter range can potentially denote the most sensitive parameter for a particular field.
$\oplus$ The relative sensitivity ot the other considered parameters can be estlmated by employing the scale with the largest parameter range for the remaining spider-graphs.
† Yearly versus monthly spider graphs can provide a relatively transparent seasonal dependence of the parameters chosen.
$\oplus$ Overall, a relatively systematic, consistent, objective and robust methodology regarding the evaluation of model parameters priority for testing purposes has been presented that can facilitate the formidable task of the model optimization proccess.
$\oplus$ In principle, the use of spider graphs can be used to select objectively the model parameters needed to be optimized.
$\oplus$ However a first tentative application of the methodology in reference to observations displays a framework for substantial considerations.

