## ICON news – higher resolution?



Günther Zängl, Martin Köhler Deutscher Wetterdienst DWD

- 40, 20, 13, 10, 6.5, 3.25km
- 120 levels
- 26km ensemble
- MERIT orography
- surface parameter data assimilation
- sub-grid condensational heating



T500 bias-corrected RMSE difference to IFS.

# Linus Magnusson et al, DIMOSIC, 2022, BAMS subm.



#### Different Models, Same Initial Conditions



Scorecard of normalized, bias-corrected RMSE difference to IFS, 72 hours

# Linus Magnusson et al, DIMOSIC, 2022, BAMS subm.



#### Different Models, Same Initial Conditions



Mean precipitation from GPM observations and model bias averaged over 10 days.

### Gray zone issues



- Turning off the deep convection scheme at convection-permitting resolution improves some aspects of tropical convection that are notoriously misrepresented by the existing parameterizations.
- However, this comes at the price of other systematic errors.
- Most importantly, triggering convection explicitly at convection-permitting resolution requires a too high amount of instability, which is generated by a cold bias in the middle/upper troposphere over the tropical oceans.
- Subgrid-scale condensational heating (ongoing work) helps a bit, but still, convection-permitting is not convection-resolving!



- High-resolution raw data for **orography**
- Subgrid-scale condensation
- **Tuning turbulence** scheme (TKE source terms) to avoid excessive turbulence in breaking orographic gravity waves
- cloud ice sedimentation speed halved for the experiments without deep convection scheme in order to counteract the cold bias in the upper tropical troposphere

### Verification against IFS analyses, 13 km vs. 6.5 km





green: 6.5km is better change in RMSE [%]

Improvements in surface-based quantities benefitting from the higher (orography) resolution.

At higher levels, results are mixed.

configuration: 120 levels to 75km IFS initial conditions

time: 20210101 - 20210207







- Dynamics of tropical convection is better represented without deep convection scheme (at <3km resolution).</li>
- Improvements for precipitation and dynamical fields in the middle/upper troposphere.
- Global forecast quality is not "ready for NWP".
- When approaching the convective gray zone, the simple relationship "higher resolution = better scores" ceases to be valid, indicating that substantial development work on parameterisation packages is needed.

Regarding current activities on global convection-permitting modelling, it is by no means sufficient to focus on technical aspects like the GPU port of model codes and I/O optimization





relative differences [%] to operational configuration (13/6.5 km) verification against IFS analyses (January 2021)



Enhance EPS resolution from 40/20 km to 26/13 km while keeping the deterministic configuration at 13/6.5 km; increase number of vertical levels from 90 to 120 (60 to 74 in EU-nest) in DET and EPS, placing the majority of the additional levels in the stratosphere

Planned to become operational end of November 2022.

### 120 versus 90 levels



DWD

## EPS resolution increase 26km vs 40km and L120 vs L90





green: 26km is better change in CRPSF [%]

> Winter 2020/21 80 days



- The GLOBE data set currently used for the global system has a rather coarse resolution (30<sup>''</sup>, ~ 1km) and known errors
- The high-resolution ASTER data set (1<sup>'</sup>, ~30m) used for ICON-D2 is limited to 60°N-60°S
- Finding a global high-res data set without obvious quality issues turns out to be difficult; we thus decided to use a merge of MERIT (90°N-60°S) and REMA (62°S-90°S) data (~90m).
- Most importantly, the higher raw data resolution allows a more accurate calculation of SSO parameters, which are highly relevant for the forecast quality in NH winter
- Will become operational together with the resolution upgrade.

Many thanks to Jürgen Helmert, Astrid Schöne, René Redler

#### Orographies for ICON at 13km: MERIT/REMA – GLOBE 1000m 90m







MAX: 2093.29; MIN: -2214.76; AVG: -0.83161

## SSO-STDH for ICON-R3B7 (white for < 10m)







mean: 20.4m

### NW-Siberia: reducing "SSO cutoff" from 10m to 1m

RMSE

1.0

0.0

-0.5







2021/01/01-00UTC - 2021/02/07-12UTC INI: 00 UTC, DOM: N-W Siberia , STAT: ALL



RMSE difference FF10M

# MERIT/REMA orography vs GLOBE: SYNOP verification





## T2M assimilation and model-DA coupling



T2M assimilation provides input that can be used for an adaptive adjustment of uncertain model parameters in order to minimize systematic errors. On the other hand, successful T2M assimilation relies upon this error minimization.

#### Methodology:

- Compute time-filtered DA increments of T and RH at the lowest model level as a proxy for the T2M and RH2M model bias (this obviously implies that T2M and RH2M need to be assimilated)
- In addition, a filtered T increment weighted by COS(local time) is computed as a proxy for the T2M amplitude bias
- Based upon this information, uncertain model parameters like stomata resistance, bare soil evaporation (c\_soil), snow albedo, skin conductivity, soil heat capacity and conductivity are varied around their base value derived from external parameter data and parameterization assumptions

Became operational on May 11, 2022.

Thanks to Harald Anlauf.

### **Scorecard SYNOP verification**





## Subgrid-scale condensational heating



- Forecasters complain that ICON-D2 triggers convection too late / too sparsely in some situations, primarily under weak large-scale forcing
- Grid-scale saturation adjustment obviously delays the onset of latent heating at convection-permitting (but not resolving) scales
- A consistent treatment of subgrid-scale variability in saturation adjustment and cloud microphysics would be a major new development with uncertain time-to-success
- This led to the idea of a simplified approach that focuses on the leadingorder process, i.e. to account for the latent heating related to changes in diagnosed subgrid-scale cloud water
- Forecast scores tend to show light to moderate improvements on average over longer periods; however, a large impact was found in a few cases of (original) forecast failures



#### 00-UTC forecast run, 1h-precipitation 12-13 UTC



**Operational forecast** 

Radar



#### 00-UTC forecast run, 1h-precipitation 15-16 UTC



**Operational forecast** 

Radar



#### 00-UTC forecast run, 1h-precipitation 18-19 UTC



**Operational forecast** 

Radar



#### 00-UTC forecast run, 1h-precipitation 21-22 UTC



**Operational forecast** 

Radar



- Resolution sensitivity of ICON (40, 20, 13, 10, 6.5, 3.25km) best performance at ~10km
- **Resolution package** for end 2022
  - 120 levels
  - 26/13km ensemble
  - MERIT / REMO orography (90m)
- T<sub>2M</sub> assimilation and automatic surface model parameter tuning
- sub-grid condensational heating