Along the aim of COSMO-SP to consider missing interactions:





6

Scale Interaction (STIC) and its essential effect on Surface-to-Atmosphere Transfer (SAT)

Matthias Raschendorfer, DWD



Outline:

- <u>A lessen from previous ConSAT tasks:</u>
 - Background-diffusion (BD), introduced, e.g., by minimal diff.-coeff., is a substitute of missing STIC terms (acting due to non-turbulent <u>heterogeneity</u>).
 - If BD of non-adaptive STIC terms are applied in the BL even above a <u>homogeneous</u> surface, this partly <u>destroys</u> the <u>stability</u> reduction of SAT-velocity.
 - \succ too large heat-fluxes in those situations

one branch of ConSAT

- more complete STIC-terms being better adapted to <u>external parameters</u> and to the <u>local model state</u>
- Adapted relaxation of hidden numerical security measures
- * activation of prepared extensions
- transfer of related ICON-development into COSMO?
- I'm explaining the idea of STIC and the status of current development



The filtered model equations:



2nd-order (SO) budgets:



Parameterizations in terms of grid scale (GS) variables :

- Further information (assumptions) about these additional covariance terms has to be introduced:
 - functions in all <u>GS</u> model variables \overline{p} , $\hat{\Phi}$, \overline{p} \overline{D} <u>GS</u> parameterizations due to

dependent on a list of additional parameters $\,\beta\,$

- <u>GS</u> parameterizations due to – SGS variability
- Closure assumptions are additional constraints that can't be general valid

>distinguish different SGS flow structures more or less according to the length scales of their motions

>each with **specific parameterization assumptions**

Turbulence:	isotropic, normal distributed, only one characteristic length scale at each grid point, forced by shear and buoyancy
SGS Circulation:	non isotropic, arbitrarily skewed and coherent structures of <u>several</u> length scales, supplied by various pressure forces
Convection	large vertical scales of coherence, full microphysics, forced by buoyancy feed back
Kata- and anabatic density circulations:	direct thermal circulation forced by lateral cooling or heating by sloped surfaces of the earth; dominated by SGS surface structures like SSO
Horizontal shear eddies:	produced by strong horizontal shear e.g. at frontal zones; dominated by horizontal grid scale
Wake eddies:	produced by blocking at SGS surface structures (form drag forces)
Breaking gravity wave eddies	belong to wave length of instable gravity waves of arbitrary scales

Spectral characteristics of turbulence and circulations:



The TKE-schemeincludingempirical parameterization extensions:Matthias RaschendorferGünther Zängl (DWD)

with optional positive

prognostic TKE-equation

definite solution of

and optional vertical smoothing of F_{τ}^{M} F^{H}



Unrealistic decouple of surface and boundary layer

Missing stratospheric turbulence

artificial limitations and empirical extensions are necessary



Current realization:

- We usually apply parameterizations of effects on 1-st order budgets due to <u>different processes</u> (turbulence, convection, SSO wakes) <u>without using a clear separation procedure</u>
 - Each scheme for a specific SGS process would <u>only</u> be valid, if <u>all</u> the other sub grid scale processes were in accordance with the specific closure assumptions, what is in
 <u>CONTRADICTION</u> to the need of DIFFERENT SGS models!!
- This missing separation causes serious problems:
 - > Non-realizability due to the application of not valid assumptions
 - Double-counting of effects from different scale regimes
 - Missing feedback between different scale regimes
 - **O** No amplification of turbulence due to the action of circulations
 - **O** No decrease of Circulation Kinetic Energy (CKE) by turbulent friction
 - No trigger of convective circulations by turbulent eddies
 - 0

<u>Separated Turbulence Interacting with non-turbulent Circulations (STIC):</u>



- ➢ 3D-shear production of turbulence
 - From the grid-scale flow
 - From non-turbulent sub-grid flow patterns (circulations)
 - Connected with coherent structures being not in accordance with turbulence closure
 - Will be expressed by grid-scale 3D shear, if the patterns are resolved by a smaller grid
 - <u>Extracts</u> kinetic energy from the circulation flow and <u>feeds</u> turbulence

The procedure of STIC:

- Assume that turbulence approximations can be assigned to all <u>horizontal scales</u> not smaller ٠ than a maximal turbulent length scale L_p (mainly dependent on the distance from the surface)
- **Spectral separation** by
 - considering **budgets** with respect to the **separation scale** $L = min | L_n, D_n |$
 - averaging these budgets along the whole control volume (double averaging)
- 1-st order budgets with SGS contributions from turbulence and circulations
 - : with respect to scale L
- Two sets of 2-nd order equations containing additional scale interaction terms:

pure turbulence and another for pure circulations one set for

Mass flux equations describing initial conditions and lateral mixing of cells using properties of turbulence

Separated TKE equation contains additional shear term:

• Semi-parameterized (neglecting laminar transport and roughness layer modification of transport)



Towards a description of TKE-production by sub-grid circulations (SI-term):



Simplified CD budget: Equilibrium of production and scale transfer towards turbulence:

$$\mathbf{Q}_{C}^{\text{TKE}}(\mathbf{q}_{C}) \propto \frac{\mathbf{q}_{C}^{3}}{\mathbf{L}_{C}}$$

length scale of the circulation

 α_{c} effective scaling parameter

kinetic energy production of the circulation

solving for Q_C velocity scale of the circulation

Current work on STIC:

- 0 dTKEshs [] "more realistic generalization"
 - SI dependent on thermal stability and height above ground
 - formulation SI-sink in CKE-budget of SHS-circulation also dependent on <u>turbulent</u> velocity scale.
- O dTKEcnv [] "to be used not only for EDP-post-processing"
 - Operational use in prognostic TKE-equation
 - removing sources of detrimental jumps in space and time
 - Introduction of turbulence-feedback into convection-scheme
 - formulation of detrainment/entrainment also dependent on turbulent velocity scale
 - trigger of SGS convective plumes by turbulent vertical velocity
 - O dTKEsso [] "empirical modifications"
 - \checkmark SI with correction factor dependent on thermal stability
- 0 dTKEcrc [] "thermal SSO-term"
 - > <u>nocturnal</u> katabatic <u>down</u>-valley circulation
 - <u>daytime</u> convective <u>up</u>-valley circulation
 - \checkmark dependent on SSO-parameters (vanishing for a <u>flat</u> surface)
 - > Thermal surface inhomogeneity [] surface-forcing of SGS convection

- new formulation in preparation
- ✓ publications about EDP running
- derivation and validation

Introduced by Günther Z.

Matthias Raschendorfer

new formulation being tested

substituting the current "circulation-term"

Effect of a sub-grid katabatic circulation for stabile stratification:



COSMO

TKE-production by sub-grid circulations:

Equilibrium of production and spectral transfer towards turbulence:

$$\begin{split} g \frac{\Delta \overline{\theta}_{v}}{\overline{\theta}_{v}} \sin(\phi) \cdot q_{c} &\approx Q_{c}^{\mathsf{TKE}} \propto \frac{q_{c}^{3}}{\mathsf{L}_{c}} \\ & \mathsf{H}_{c}(\sigma) \approx \mathsf{max} \Big[0, \mathsf{H}_{c}(0) - \sigma \Big] & \mathsf{mean height-amplitude of a}^{\sigma} \cdot \mathsf{surface} \\ & \tan \Big[\phi(\sigma) \Big] = \mathsf{s}(\sigma) \approx \mathsf{s}(0) \cdot \frac{\mathsf{H}_{c}(\sigma)}{\mathsf{H}_{c}(0)} & \mathsf{mean slope of a}^{\sigma} \sigma \cdot \mathsf{surface} \\ & \mathsf{L}_{c} = \frac{\mathsf{H}_{c}}{\mathsf{sin}(\phi)} & \mathsf{coherence-length along the}^{\sigma} \cdot \mathsf{slope} \\ & \mathsf{L}_{c} = \frac{\mathsf{H}_{c}}{\mathsf{o}_{v}} \approx \Big| \partial_{z} \overline{\theta}_{v} \Big| \cdot \mathsf{H}_{c} & \mathsf{effective temperature difference} \\ & \mathsf{A} \overline{\theta}_{v} \approx \Big| \partial_{z} \overline{\theta}_{v} \Big| \cdot \mathsf{H}_{c} & \mathsf{effective temperature difference} \\ & \mathsf{Q}_{c}^{\mathsf{TKE}} \approx \alpha_{c} \frac{(\mathsf{s} \cdot \mathsf{H}_{c})^{2}}{1 + \mathsf{s}^{2}} \Big| \mathsf{F}^{\mathsf{H}} \Big|_{2}^{\frac{3}{2}} & \mathsf{resulting \mathsf{TKE-production}} \\ & \mathsf{M}_{c}^{\mathsf{O}} \Big| \\$$

Direct STIC-impact on SAT:



 Roughness-layer resistance with a laminar and a turbulent part (only for scalars):

$$\mathbf{r}_{\mathsf{S0}}^{\mathsf{H}} = \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\kappa \mathsf{S}_{\mathbf{0}} \cdot \mathsf{U}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathsf{H}}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{\mathsf{H}} + \ln \frac{\kappa \mathsf{Z}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathsf{U}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathsf{H}}}{\mathsf{k}^{\mathsf{H}}} = \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\kappa \mathsf{U}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathsf{H}}} \cdot \ln \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathsf{Z}_{\mathbf{0}}}{\mathsf{z}_{\mathbf{0}}}$$

O Turbulent Prandtl-layer resistance with an unstable and a stable branch:

rbulent part
$$z = z_A$$
 k=ke
 $\sigma = d_0 + z_0 = \sigma_0$ $z = z_0$ k=ke+1
 \uparrow \uparrow
displace rough
ment ness
height length
 $\sigma = \sigma_s = 0$ earth

 $Z = Z_{P}$

k=ke-1

$$\begin{split} y_{s}^{\phi} &:= \frac{Z_{0}}{h_{p}} \begin{bmatrix} u_{p}^{\phi} \\ u_{0}^{\phi} \end{bmatrix}^{s} - 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad s = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \text{unstable} & \phi \in [H, M] \\ 0 - 1 & \text{stable} & h_{\chi} := Z_{\chi} - Z_{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ u_{\chi}^{\phi} &:= \frac{K_{\chi}}{\ell} \quad \text{turbulent velocity} \\ r_{0A}^{\phi} &= \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{\ell_{0}}^{\ell_{A}} \frac{d\ell}{\ell \cdot u^{H}} = \frac{1}{\kappa [u_{0}^{\phi}]} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_{1}^{\phi}} \ln \left[\frac{Z_{A}}{Z_{0} + \gamma_{1}^{\phi} \cdot h_{A}} \right] - \frac{y_{1}^{\phi} \rightarrow 0(\text{neutral})}{I - \gamma_{1}^{\phi}} \rightarrow \ln \left[\frac{Z_{A}}{Z_{0}} \right] \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{p}^{\phi} \ge u_{0}^{\phi} & (\text{unstable}) \\ u_{p}^{\phi} \ge u_{0}^{\phi} & (\text{unstable}) \\ (1 - \gamma_{-1}^{\phi}) \ln \left[\frac{Z_{A}}{Z_{0}} \right] + \gamma_{-1}^{\phi} \frac{h_{A}}{Z_{0}} & \text{operationally} \\ u_{p}^{\phi} < u_{0}^{\phi} & (\text{stable}) \\ u_{p}^{\phi} < u_{p}^{\phi} & (\text{stable}) \\ u_{p}^{\phi} & (\text{sta$$

STIC-effect of the Profile-Function on near-surface values:



General <u>STIC</u>-Impact on SAT:

- Increased shear lowers Ri-number at stable stratification within the ABL and enters the calculation of stability functions
 - Avoids singularities of the solution
 - Substitutes the introduction of artificial "long-tale" stability functions
- Has a direct impact on transfer-velocities
 - Due to the adapted construction of the transfer scheme solving TKE-equations at the roughness-layer top and the next higher half-level, where at least the latter can receive an impact by STIC-terms
- Generates additional physically based turbulent mixing
 - avoids unrealistic decoupling of a heterogeneous surface from the atmosphere
 - Substitutes (at least partly) the introduction of artificial minimal diffusion coefficients

A case-study:

An almost clear-sky mid-summer night with ICON-EU



low- and mid level cloud cover in % (out_ic02-imp1-new_srf_cpl-tkmin=0.0)



surface roughness [m] (over land) (out_ic02-imp1-new_srf_cpl-tkmin=0.0)



soil water fraction of field capacity [FCF]' Lev 0.005 (out_ic02-imp1-new_srf_cpl-tkmin=0.0)



pr time=03Z23JUN2016 pr hour=3hr

Effect of thermal SSO term:

- Right correction for hilly surfaces
 - reduces negative nocturnal T2m-bias
- No effect at flat terrain
 - where only an decreased Kmin can lower the already present positive nocturnal T2m-bias

2m-temperature [C]

Nocturnal effect of new SI-term from thermal SSO



Nocturnal effect of new SI-term from thermal SSO



2m-temperature [C]

Nocturnal effect of minimal diffusion coefficients



Effect of hyperbolic profile for turbulent velocity at stable stratification:

- Right correction for nocturnal coupling
 - Smaller transfer velocities
 - Reduction of too excessive nocturnal BL-cooling
- Below clouds and at vegetated surfaces during summertime
 - positive nocturnal T2m-bias gets even larger

2m-temperature [C]

Nocturnal effect of hyperbolic profile for stable turbulent velocity scale



Nocturnal effect of hyperbolic profile for stable turbulent velocity scale



Ľ

temperature [C]

Nocturnal effect of hyperbolic profile for stable turbulent velocity scale

ana_icre_rout



t_g - t_2m [C]

Nocturnal effect of hyperbolic profile for stable turbulent velocity scale



Attention:

- Nocturnal surface-temperature during the assimilation run is warmer than measured T2m!
- Not only below some sheltering clouds
- But correlated with the amount of leaves
 - Missing decoupling of plant-surfaces with the still warm soil mass!?
 - Radiative cooling is almost compensated by heat form the soil
 - Warmer nocturnal BL with hyperbolic profiles causes (although this is an improvement) an even increased positive T2m-bials.



low- and mid level cloud cover in % (out_ic02-imp1-new_srf_cpl-tkmin=0.0)



Semi-transparent and decoupled cover-layer in <u>TERRA</u> -> is being done

Outlook:

- Implementing a semi-transparent substantial cover-layer built from R-elements being thermally decoupled from the rigid soil
 - Larger amplitude of diurnal cycle
 - Reduction of evaporating surface
 - Treatment of snow below a plant canopy
- Expressing missing transport parameterized sub-grid circulations
 - Additional vertical and horizontal diffusion at circulation scales
- Expressing the effect of turbulence on circulations
 - Substitution of dissipation-like scale-transfer expressing the related shear term directly
 - Automatically introduces turbulent feedback:
 - **O** Dependency on turbulent length scale and thermal stratification
- Describing near surface thermal circulations caused by land use roughness
 - Kata- and anabatic circulations at buildings and vegetation
 - Nocturnal labialization and daytime stabilization of transfer between soil and

canopy

- Another lessen from previous ConSAT tasks:
 - Pure modifications in the description of the turbulent Prandtl-layer can <u>hardly</u> <u>correct</u> the main sources of current model-errors of the diurnal cycle of near surface variables and of numerical instability of near-surface temperatures!
 - The description of surface processes provides by far the largest potential for improvement!!

Efforts towards a substantial, semi-transparent cover-layer (canopy) thermally loosely coupled to the dense soil:

- 1. Additional thermal equation for snow-free skin
- 2. Linearization of surface processes
- 3. Thermal equations for skin, snow and soil coupled through implicit temperatures => extended linear system of equations
- 4. Related adaptations for snow-cover diagnostic, dynamic tiles and initialization
- 5. Merge with various work-arounds and extensions in ICON-TERRA
- 6. Including phase-transitions of precipitation (as well as soil water and the snow-cover) into the implicit treatment
- 7. Merge with prepared canopy-extension of skin-layer
- 8. Canopy-interception of snow and related adaptation of snow-tiles
- 9. Transfer of ICON-development into COSMO?



<u>New linear-implicitly coupled budget equations at the surface :</u> (completely implemented)

 $THF_{Sx} = |PHF + SRF + LRF_{d} + LRF_{u} + SHF + LHF|_{Sx}$ total implicit heat flux-density towards the surface $= THF_{Sx}^{0} + \left[\partial_{T_{Sx}} \left[LRF_{u} + SHF + LHF \right]_{Sx}^{0} \cdot \left(T_{Sx} - T_{Sx}^{0} \right) \right]$ explicit flux-density + <u>implicit extension</u> total (virtual) conductivity $\partial_{T_{u}} \left[\mathsf{LRF}_{u} \right]_{\mathsf{SV}}^{\mathsf{0}} = -4\sigma\varepsilon_{\mathsf{0}} \cdot (\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{SV}}^{\mathsf{0}})^{\mathsf{3}}$ atmospheric transfer-velocity for scalars $\partial_{T_{sx}} [SHF]_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ $\int_{V} \phi_{T_{sx}} [LHF]_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ $\int_{V} \phi_{T_{sx}} [LHF]_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ $\int_{V} \phi_{T_{sx}} (LHF)_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ $\int_{V} \phi_{T_{sx}} (LHF)_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ $\int_{V} \phi_{T_{sx}} (LHF)_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ $\int_{V} \phi_{T_{sx}} (LHF)_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ $\int_{V} \phi_{T_{sx}} (LHF)_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ $\int_{V} \phi_{T_{sx}} (LHF)_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ $\int_{V} \phi_{T_{sx}} (LHF)_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ $\int_{V} \phi_{T_{sx}} (LHF)_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ $\int_{V} \phi_{T_{sx}} (LHF)_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ $\int_{V} \phi_{T_{sx}} (LHF)_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ $\int_{V} \phi_{T_{sx}} (LHF)_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ $\int_{V} \phi_{T_{sx}} (LHF)_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ $\int_{V} \phi_{T_{sx}} (LHF)_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ $\int_{V} \phi_{T_{sx}} (LHF)_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ $\int_{V} \phi_{T_{sx}} (LHF)_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ $\int_{V} \phi_{T_{sx}} (LHF)_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ $\int_{V} \phi_{T_{sx}} (LHF)_{Sx}^{0} = - \left[\rho_{S} u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot c_{p}$ concatenation of resistances specific reduction of actual evaporation $\mathsf{GHF}_{\mathsf{Sx}} = - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} & & & \\ \alpha_{\mathsf{B}}^{\mathsf{Sx}} \cdot \alpha_{\mathsf{B1}}^{\mathsf{B}} \\ \alpha_{\mathsf{B}}^{\mathsf{Sx}} + \alpha_{\mathsf{B1}}^{\mathsf{B}} \end{bmatrix} \cdot (\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{Sx}} - \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{B1}}) & \overset{\mathsf{Sf}}{\mathsf{B1}} = \underbrace{\mathsf{Sf}}_{\mathsf{B1}} & \overset{\mathsf{Sf}}{\mathsf{B1}} & \overset{\mathsf{Sf}}{\mathsf{B1}} = \underbrace{\mathsf{Sf}}_{\mathsf{B1}} & \overset{\mathsf{Sf}}{\mathsf{B1}} & \overset{\mathsf{Sf}}{\mathsf{St}} & \overset{\mathsf{$ $\mathsf{THF}_{\mathsf{Sn}} - \mathsf{GHF}_{\mathsf{Sn}} = \left[\rho_{\mathsf{Sn}}\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{Sn}}\right]^{0} \frac{\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{Sm}} - \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{Sm}}^{0}}{\Delta t} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{h}_{\mathsf{Sn}\to 0}} \mathbf{0} \qquad \text{reduces to implicit flux-balance for vanishing snow-depth} \\ \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{Sm}} = \frac{\mathbf{1}}{2} \cdot (\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{Sn}} + \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{B}}) \qquad \text{linear vertical T-profile of snow-pack}$ $\mathsf{THF}_{\mathsf{sf}} - \mathsf{GHF}_{\mathsf{sf}} = \left[\rho_{\mathsf{c}}\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{c}}\right]^{\mathsf{0}} \xrightarrow[\Lambda^{\mathsf{t}}]{}^{\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{Cm}}} \xrightarrow[\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}} \to \mathbf{0}]{}^{\mathsf{t}} \qquad \text{reduces to implicit flux-balance for currently applied skin-layer approximation}$ $C_{c} \rightarrow 0$ $\begin{array}{c} \alpha_{\rm B}^{\rm Sf} \rightarrow \infty \\ {\rm T}_{\rm C} \rightarrow {\rm T}_{\rm B} \end{array}$ $T_{Cm} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (T_{C} + T_{B})$ linear vertical T-profile of R-layer

Implicit increments of atmospheric transfer velocities: (already implemented)

• Considering the hidden T_{sx} -dependency of the transfer velocity for heat U_{SA}^{H} , which <u>controls</u> the virtual conductivities of SHF_{sx} and LHF_{sx}:

$$\partial_{\mathsf{T}_{Sx}} \big[\mathsf{SHF} \big]_{Sx}^{0} = - \big[\rho_{\mathsf{S}} \underline{\mathsf{U}}_{\mathsf{SA}}^{\mathsf{H}} \big]^{0} \cdot \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{p}} \qquad \qquad \partial_{\mathsf{T}_{Sx}} \big[\mathsf{LHF} \big]_{Sx}^{0} = - \cdot \big[\rho_{\mathsf{S}} \underline{\mathsf{U}}_{\mathsf{SA}}^{\mathsf{H}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathsf{Sx}}^{\mathsf{red}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{q}_{\mathsf{v}}^{\mathsf{sat}} \cdot \mathbf{L}_{\mathsf{ev}} \big]^{0} \\ \\ \left[\big[\mathsf{U}_{\mathsf{SA}}^{\mathsf{H}} \big]^{0} \to \mathsf{U}_{\mathsf{SA}}^{\mathsf{H}} := \big[\mathsf{U}_{\mathsf{SA}}^{\mathsf{H}} \big]^{0} + \partial_{\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{Sx}}} \big[\mathsf{U}_{\mathsf{SA}}^{\mathsf{H}} \big]^{0} \cdot \big(\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{Sx}} - \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{Sx}}^{0} \big) \right]$$

- The implicit heat budgets for Sf and Sn become <u>quadratic</u> in T_{Sx} :
- From solutions T_{sx}^* of the <u>decoupled</u> versions of these implicit quadratic equations:

$$\left[u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{*} = \left[u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} + \partial_{S_{Sx}} \left[u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \cdot \left(T_{Sx}^{*} - T_{Sx}^{0} \right)$$

- This updated transfer velocity $\left[U_{SA}^{H} \right]^{*}$ is used in the subsequent linear system.
- The factor of the **linear** T_{sx}-dependency of the transfer-velocity is estimated by registration:

$$\partial_{T_{sx}} \left[u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} \approx \frac{\left[u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{0} - \left[u_{SA}^{H} \right]^{-1}}{T_{s}^{0} - T_{s}^{-1}} \qquad T_{s}^{:} = \left(\mathbf{1} - f_{Sn}^{*} \right) \cdot T_{sf}^{*} + f_{Sn}^{*} \cdot T_{sn}^{*}$$



Resulting matrix of the extended linear system:

- All <u>2 + k soil budgets</u> are always present (even for f_sn=0 or f_sn=1)
- Sf Sn **B1 B2 B3** ••• $a_{\rm Sn}^{\rm Sn}$ $a_{\text{Sn}}^{\text{B1}}$ isc T_{Sn} d_{Sn} $a_{\rm Sf}^{\rm Sf}$ $a_{\rm sf}^{\rm b1}$ T_{Sf} d_{Sf} fes $a_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{B1}}^{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{B2}}$ $a_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{B1}}^{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{Sn}}$ $a_{\scriptscriptstyle B1}^{\scriptscriptstyle Sf}$ $a_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{B1}}^{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{B1}}$ d_{B1} T_{B1} ifb a_{B2}^{B1} $a_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{B2}}^{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{B1}}$ a_{B2}^{B2} d_{B2} T_{B2} a_{B3}^{b2} a^{B3}_{B3} a_{B3}^{B4}
- They are linearly coupled in the temperatures:

- Can easily be <u>tri-diagonalized</u> by matrix-operations and solved by the standard solver
- Partly reducible by parameters:
 - isc: degree of corrected implicit coupling of T_{sn} to the soil- and atm. temperatures
 - fes: degree of considered flux-equilibrium in diagnostics of T_{sf}
 - ifb: degree of implicitness for effective surface fluxes used in the heat budgets

Default for test: isc=1; fes=1; ifb=1 (full implicit solution active) - modified for diagnostic points



altered

created

<u>Scheme for snow-covered fraction and snow-depth :</u>

Snow is not equally distributed along the grid-cell surface, due to various sources of inhomogeneity:

Snow-covered fraction f_{sn}

increases monotonically with mean snow-water level of a grid cell \overline{W}_{sn}

until a critical mean snow-water level \overline{W}_{sn}^{c} is reached.



New control-parameter : ssp: spreading efficiency

- ssp=0: so far operational version; not steady; it is always $W_{sn} \ge \overline{W}_{sn}^{c}$!!
- ssp=1: full snow-spreading; always full snow-cover!!

Test-grid-point Kenia (+33.71_+7.89) :

- After-noon situation; tropical hot with strong radiation forcing
- 3 hour ICON-global test-run (R2B6, dt=6min) with
- implicit defaults of the new development version of SAT-formulation (mainly TERRA)
- Emulation of so far operational explicit surface coupling only for a special grid-point



Oscillations almost completely eliminated by

Similar result but a bit larger daily amplitudes

<u>ifb=1 + itv=1</u>

ifb=1 + itv=1 + fes=1 (not shown)

itv=1: full consideration of implicit T_sx-dependency in atmospheric transfer velocity

fes=1: full consideration of flux-equilibrium at the sf surface



Current state :

- Major adaptations in TERRA, TURBTRAN (and related interfaces) introduced into ICON-branch:
 - **Restructuring** the sequence of processes
 - **Removal** of various, now detrimental limitations all over the code
 - Reformulations related to variable-redistribution for dynamic snow-tiles
 - Generalization of snow-cover diagnostics
 - **Substitution** of previous descriptions by new formulations
 - 0 Implementation of new features
- Sanity-checks performed:
 - o numerically stable even for large time steps
 - o some remaining oscillations due to phase-transitions of snow or soil-water
 - almost minor differences compared to operational version, **but:**
 - 0 the so far **inconsistent** treatment of **rime as part of w**_{sf} had to be **removed**!
 - > positive effect of this feature no longer present!
 - consistent formulation of a 2-phase interception-store
 - ✤ together with the so far missing implicit formulation of w_{sf}-evolution



<u>New implicit and simultaneous incrementation of interception water:</u> (partly implemented)

 $\frac{W_{Sf} - W_{Sf}^{0}}{\Lambda t} = PWF_{Sf} + VWF_{Sf} + DWF_{Sf}$ PWF : given <u>precipitation-water flux</u>-density

$$\begin{split} \text{VWF}_{\text{Sf}} =& \text{-} \ f_{\text{Sf}}^{\text{cov}}(\textbf{W}_{\text{Sf}}) \cdot \text{VWF}_{\text{Sf}}^{\text{pot}}(\textbf{T}_{\text{Sf}}^{\textbf{0}}) & \text{: current } \underline{\text{water-vapour flux-}} \\ & \text{density} \\ & \text{explicit potential evaporation (negative for dew- or rime-fall, where} \ f_{\text{Sf}}^{\text{cov}}(\textbf{W}_{\text{Sf}}) \equiv \textbf{1}) \end{split}$$

explicit potential evaporation (negative for dew- or rime-fall, where $f_{Sf}^{cov}(W_{Sf}) \equiv 1$ linear cover-function: $f_{Sf}^{cov}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ $f_{Sf}^{cov}(W_{Sf}^{max}) = \mathbf{1}$ (for real evaporation)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{DWF}_{\mathsf{Sf}} =& \mathsf{f}_{\mathsf{Sf}}^{\mathsf{drp}}(\mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{Sf}}) \cdot \mathsf{DWF}_{\mathsf{Sf}}^{\mathsf{ref}} & : \mathsf{current} \, \underline{\mathsf{drip-water flux}}_{\mathsf{drip-water flux}} - \mathsf{density} \\ & | \\ & \mathsf{explicit} \, \mathsf{reference} \, \mathsf{value} \, \mathsf{at} \, \, \mathbf{f}_{\mathsf{Sf}}^{\mathsf{dpr}} =& \mathbf{1} \, \, (\mathsf{parameter of the scheme}) \\ & \mathsf{rational} \, \mathsf{drip-function} : \, \, \mathbf{f}_{\mathsf{Sf}}^{\mathsf{dpr}}(\mathbf{0}) =& \mathbf{0} \, \qquad \mathbf{f}_{\mathsf{Sf}}^{\mathsf{dpr}} - \mathbf{w}_{\mathsf{Sf}} \to \mathbf{w}_{\mathsf{Sf}}^{\mathsf{max}} \to \infty \end{aligned}$$

- $\stackrel{\textbf{(a)}}{\overleftarrow{}} \quad \begin{array}{l} Ouadratic equation for \quad 0 \leq w \quad \leq w^{\text{max}} \\ \text{Simultaneous consideration } \overset{\textbf{(b)}}{\overleftarrow{}} \text{ all } \overset{\textbf{(b)}}{\overleftarrow{}} \text{ ources and sinks} \end{array}$

Implicit freezing and melting of interception water and precipitation: (being implemented)

• At least for $T_{min}^{liq} \leq T_{sf} \leq T_{max}^{frz}$ liquid and frozen interception-water coexists with a smooth transition.



- Introducing LHF_{sf} and PHF_{sf} in **decoupled** T_{sf} -equation and solving this in <u>quadratic</u> approximation:
 - Correct and implicit treatment of liquid and frozen interception water
 - Final T_{sf} is in dynamical accordance with complete turnover of latent heat.



Next steps:

- Completion of running implementations related to interception water
- Running chain of test-cases
- Performing some code-optimizations in terms of vectorization
- Adding melting of snow and freezing/melting of soil-ice into the implicit heat budgets
- Incorporation of a multi-layer snow-model
- Introducing the extension with a decoupled, substantial and semi-transparent cover-layer, including
 - o the partitioning of fluxes into those related to B and C
 - expressions for the additional conductivity α_B^c and the additional heat capacity c_c :

 $THF_{c} - GHF_{c} = \left[\rho_{c} C_{c}\right]^{0} \frac{T_{cm} - T_{cm}^{0}}{\Delta t} \qquad T_{cm} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (T_{c} + T_{B}) \quad \text{linear vertical T-profile of R-layer}$

- $GHF_{c} = \frac{\alpha_{B}^{C} \cdot \alpha_{B1}^{B}}{\alpha_{B}^{C} + \alpha_{B1}^{B}} \cdot (T_{c} T_{B1})$ $C_{c} \quad due \text{ mass of R-elements and interception water}$ $\alpha_{B}^{C} \quad due \text{ to exchange of SH and LR between B and C}$
- based on an already developed prototype, present in an older test-version of COSMO!
- largely prepared just by the current implementations into ICON!
- removal of remaining conceptual deficiencies!
- significant impact on simulated properties!



1-st official ICON-release -> COSMO

✤ 2-nd official ICON-release -> COSMO

• **n cover layers** including the **surface of the dense soil** (n=0) are connected by long-wave radiation interaction and sensible heat exchange

thermally decoupled roughness elements (shading)

• Only a part of the inner surfaces is connected to A by the resistance chain, the other part is for the inter- surface exchange



Case study: 23.06.2016

Deutscher Wetterdienst

COSMO-DE with lateral boundaries from ICON-EU

- ✓ only for rather smooth surfaces; applied filter
- ✓ almost saturated soil due to long standing rain period before
- almost no clouds due to high pressure situation; + applied filter

domain averaged daily cycles of near-surface variables



Case study: 23.06.2016



COSMO-DE with lateral boundaries from ICON-EU



- ana_lm3_exp_10279 - out_lm3_exp_10279 - out_lm3_rlmk_new_surf-icon-icon-itype_surf=1-lsfluse=T-e_surf=10-c_soil=2-itype_vdif=1 direct analysis of revised TURBDIFF revised TURBDIFF revised TURBDIFF imported from ICON T_2m and TD_2m imported from ICON + new decoupled surface cover: SAI_∞ =10

Curing the interpolation problem:

Changing linear u^{\$\phi\$}-profile above roughness layer by a hyperbolic function in case of stable stratification (which is in accordance with solution from turbulence model)

$$y_{s}^{\phi} := \frac{Z_{0}}{h_{p}} \begin{bmatrix} u_{p}^{\phi} \\ u_{0}^{\phi} \end{bmatrix}^{s} - 1 \begin{bmatrix} s = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & unstable \\ -1 & stable \end{bmatrix} h_{x} := z_{x} - z_{0}$$

$$r_{0A}^{\phi} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{\ell_{0}}^{\ell_{A}} \frac{d\ell}{\ell \cdot u^{H}} = \frac{1}{\kappa u_{0}^{\phi}} \int_{-1}^{0} \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_{1}^{\phi}} \ln \left[\frac{Z_{A}}{z_{0} + \gamma_{1}^{\phi} \cdot h_{A}} \right] - \frac{\gamma_{1}^{\phi} - 0(neutral)}{1 - \gamma_{1}^{\phi} - 1} \ln \left[\frac{Z_{A}}{z_{0}} \right] u_{p}^{\phi} \ge u_{0}^{\phi} \quad (unstable)$$

$$u_{p}^{\phi} < u_{0}^{\phi} \quad (stable)$$

<u>Curing the vertical-discretization and neutral RL problem:</u>

- Resistance formulation based on revised profile functions
 - without the interpolation node at level Z_P
 - down through the RL
 - using the assumed constant profile properties $_{U_*}$, $_{\theta_*}$ and $_{\Gamma}$

Iterative solution for TKE and the stability-functions:



Positive definite solution of prognostic TKE-equation:



<u>The STIC-scheme including empirical parameterization extensions</u>:



Current realization:

- We usually apply parameterizations of effects on 1-st order budgets due to <u>different processes</u> (turbulence, convection, SSO wakes) <u>without using a clear separation procedure</u>
 - Each scheme for a specific SGS process would <u>only</u> be valid, if <u>all</u> the other sub grid scale processes were in accordance with the specific closure assumptions, what is in <u>CONTRADICTION</u> to the need of DIFFERENT SGS models!!
 - > Problems with incomplete description: double-counting, non-realizability
 - Some SGS contributions of source terms in <u>1-st order budgets</u> as well as in the <u>budgets for SGS</u> motions are only considered partly or inconsistently
 - Some direct and indirect SGS effects are missing or are poorly described
- Some coupling between local parameterizations is missing
 - Partly unrealistic or contradicting model results

Conditional-Domain (CD) budgets for description of sub-grid circulations:

 $\overline{\zeta}_{|_{G}}(\mathbf{r},t) := \frac{1}{|G(\mathbf{r},t)|} \int_{\mathbf{s} \in G(\mathbf{r},t)} \zeta(\mathbf{s},t) d^{3}s \quad \text{conditional average (representing e.g. the convective updraft or downdraft } G_{+} \quad G_{-}$

• budget for a conditional averaged property:

$$\partial_{t} \left(a \overline{p} \widehat{\varphi} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left[a \overline{p} \overline{\varphi} \underline{v}^{\varphi} \right] = a \cdot \left(Q_{sur}^{\varphi} + \overline{Q}^{\varphi} \right)$$
volume fraction of the related subdomain
continuity equation:
$$\partial_{t} \ln a = \frac{1}{|G|} \int_{S \in B} \partial_{t} \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{n} d^{2} \mathbf{s} = \frac{|B|}{|G|} \overline{\partial_{t} \mathbf{s}_{n}}_{|B}$$
Inner boundary surface of the subdomain
$$\frac{\partial_{t} \ln a = \frac{1}{|G|} \int_{S \in B} \partial_{t} \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{n} d^{2} \mathbf{s} = \frac{|B|}{|G|} \overline{\partial_{t} \mathbf{s}_{n}}_{|B}}{\int_{\Omega} \partial_{t} \mathbf{s}_{n}} = \frac{1}{|G|} \frac{\partial_{t} \partial_{t} \mathbf{s}_{n}}{\int_{B}}$$

Equations to be solved under simplifying assumptions

– stationarity, same horizontal advection for each subdomain, ...

- A more advanced semi-transparent C-layer extension (by M. Raschendorfer) with parameterized heatconduction and heat storage of the full roughness cover (e.g. plant canopy) is being adapted from an existing test-version prepared last year within COSMO.
 - The final combination with the reformulated budgets will include all related partial development! 0
- Experiment with the existing test-version in COSMO: 2)
 - COSMO-DE with lateral boundaries from ICON-EU 0
 - domain averaged daily cycles of near-surface variables 0
 - almost saturated soil due to long standing rain period before 0
 - only for rather smooth surfaces: applied filter 0

Wetter und Klima aus einer Hand

almost no clouds due to high pressure situation + applied filter 0

already shown last year

conditional

diagnostic









Deutscher Wetterdienst Wetter und Klima aus einer Hand



effect of single-precision radiation calculations on results

Testing & Tuning of (Revised Cloud Radiation Coupling) T²(RC)²:

Harel Muskatel (HM)

Uli Blahak (UB), Pavel Khain (PK),, Alon Shtivelman (AS), Matthias Raschendorfer (MR), Daniel Rieger (DR), Simon Gruber (SG), Martin Koehler (MK), Xavier Lapillonne (XL), Oliver Fuhrer (OF), Gdaly Rivin (GR), Natalia Chubarova (NC), Marina Shatunova (MS), Alexey Poliukov (AP), Alexander Kirsanov (AK).



COSMO

- New Optical Properties (OP) of ice & cloud droplets after Fu et al. / Hu & Stamnes:
 - ✓ OP as function of wave length and Effective Arguments (EA): R_{eff}, particl. Length (L) and Depth (D)

- \checkmark EAs deduced from particle size distribution N(L) and mass-size relation m((L)
- ✓ Theoretical relation between OPs as function of EAs fitted in terms of rational functions for 8 distinctive spectral bands
 UB, HM

✓	Implementation into ICON radiation-code
✓	test runs with ICON-global performed
\succ	Tests with ICON-LAM at IMS / Russia

SG
SG, MK

ICON-ART dust as input for COSMO radiation:

✓	Successfully implemented	DR, HM
\checkmark	Few clear-sky comparisons against CLIRAD-model	
	and Nes-Tziona AERONET station with positive results	
	Further testing	

- CAMS prognostic aerosols in water in scheme for water-droplet nucleation :
 - ✓ Derivation of cloud NC as function of aerosol NC according Segal & Khain
 - Expression vertical Reff-profile dependent on cloud NC

including sub-grid effects through turbulence and convection

UB

HM

- ✓ Successfully implemented in COSMO (1-mom. microph. and radiation)
- \checkmark Few-days case study performed:
 - **O** Calculated Cloud NC available in microph. effects LWC and CLC
 - Reff has large impact on radiation heating rates
 - ➤ T2m, precip. and integr. cloud-cover are strongly effected
- Further testing and verification with mixed aerosol types

Tuning 4 sub-versions for cloud-radiation interaction in COSMO :

i.	Standard scheme with constant Reff	
ii.	Parameterized Reff based on Tegen-climatology	
iii.	Parameterized Reff based on CAMS-aerosols	
iv.	Parameterized Reff based on Tegen-climatology	
	+ special extension for shallow cumulus clouds	РК

 Comparison of global radiation-simulation with local measurements and CM-SAF for a 4-month period in 2016

PK

✓ Improvement of RMSE for global radiation by several %

Reviewing current shallow-cumulus parameterization :

- Comparison of LWC through shallow-convection with Pavel's approach of pure adiabatic lifting
- Employing LWC from shallow convection for Pavel's extended parameterization of R_{eff} for convective clouds.