

Assimilation of radar reflectivity

Axel Seifert

Deutscher Wetterdienst, Offenbach, Germany



COSMO General Meeting, Jerusalem, 2017



Convective-scale NWP at DWD: Plans for 2020

- Storm-scale ICON-RUC-EPS: hourly 12h ensemble forecasts based on short data cut-off (< 20 min); assimilation of 3D radar data, satellite (IR and VIS), Mode-S, etc.; 40 members for ensemble data assimilation and ensemble prediction.
- Convective-scale ICON-LAM-EPS: every 3 hours ensemble forecasts up to 48h

Model domain of ICON-RUC and ICON-LAM; 2 km for the full domain and a 2-way nest with a grid spacing of 1 km for Germany.







A prototype experiment with the COSMO model

- COSMO model with 1 km grid spacing focusing on Southern Germany
- Two-moment microphysics with hail (Seifert and Beheng 2006, Blahak 2008)
- Data assimilation using LETKF with 3d radar reflectivity and radial velocity (Schraff et al. 2016, Bick et al. 2016).
- Assimilation with 40 ensemble members and 30 min cycling using 5 min radar data.
- Forecasts with 20 ensemble members for 6 hours.
- Here without "Bubbles" and without "dBZ transform" instead focus on 1mom vs 2mom microphysics.

Model domain of COSMO1-RUC with a grid spacing of 1 km on a 700 x 750 grid.



0 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 height of orography in m





Local Ensemble Transform Kalman Filter



- During the COSMO runs the model is compared with observations using a forward operator (o-fg).
- LETKF estimates new 3d fields of all model variables based on covariances in the ensemble members, e.g, how w and T correlate with dBZ.

Caveats:

- Works only if observations are well covered by ensemble.
- Non-gaussian statistics are not well represented.





LETKF and model settings

Model:

 \sim 1 km grid (0.01 degree resolution), 65 vertical levels, dt = 7.5 s 2mom microphysics scheme vs 1mom (with COSMO-DE settings) shallow convection, numerics and turbulence as in COSMO-DE superobbing of radar data at 5 km resolution, every 5 min, full radar scan

I FTKF:

RTPS with rtps_alpha=0.75 refl obs error=10, radvel obs error=3, min refl=0 h loc=5, v loc=0.3 (for rvel and refl.) adap rho=F, rho=1.0, sat_ad=T, hyd_bal=T, adap_R=F Additive perturbations (as in operational COSMO-DE)



COSMO First Guess, 20160529, 12:00 UTC + 00 min





20160529, 18:00 UTC, elev. = 0.5°







LETKF results



- No significant difference in first-guess or analysis RMSE
- More spread with 2mom microphysics
- Hence, improved consistency ratio with 2mom microphysics.







- The 2mom microphysics is much close to the observed dBZ statistics.
- Operational 1mom microphysics misses everything above 45 dBZ.
- LETKF analysis improves 1mom, but deteriorates 2mom statistics.





CFADs (1d PDFs as function of height)







Bias of CFADs

- First guess with 1mom shows positive frequency bias for moderate dBZ, but lack of high dBZ.
- First guess of 2 mom is much better than 1 mom.
- Analysis is similar for both microphysics schemes.





Temperature statistics for AIREP TEMP PILOT experiments: 2mom, 1mom startdate: 20160527120000 enddate: 20160531120000



Temperature statistics for AIREP TEMP PILOT

experiments: 2mom, 1mom startdate: 20160527120000 enddate: 20160531120000



Humidity statistics for AIREP TEMP PILOT experiments: 2mom, 1mom startdate: 20160527120000 enddate: 20160531120000



Humidity statistics for AIREP TEMP PILOT experiments: 2mom, 1mom startdate: 20160527120000 enddate: 20160531120000



Wind statistics for AIREP TEMP PILOT experiments: 2mom, 1mom startdate: 20160527120000 enddate: 20160531120000



Wind statistics for AIREP TEMP PILOT experiments: 2mom, 1mom startdate: 20160527120000 enddate: 20160531120000



Forecast scores (for 3 days, 12, 13, 14 UTC + 6 h)

- 2mom overestimates the frequency of 30 and 45 dBZ, 1mom seems to better
- Compensating errors in case of 1mom. The model simulations too many convective cells.

Forecast scores (for 3 days, 12, 13, 14 UTC + 6 h)

 In the Fraction Skill Score the 2mom scheme shows some improvement for 30 dBZ, but not for 20 dBZ where the 1mom is well calibrated, and 45 dBZ where both have low skill.

(FSS at a scale of 21 grid points, roughly 20 km)

Forecast scores (for 3 days, 12, 13, 14 UTC + 6 h)

 In the Brier score the 2mom scheme shows some nice but weird behavior for the 30 dBZ threshold. For 45 dBZ it is still better to predict nothing as the 1mom scheme actually does.

(reference in this BSS is a zero probability forecast)

Braunsbach flooding on 29th May 2016

COSMO Forecast, 20160529, 12:00 UTC + 00:00

6

DWD

Braunsbach flooding on 29th May 2016

COSMO Forecast, 20160529, 12:00 UTC + 06:00

2mom forecast

1mom forecast

ReflRvel

DWD

Braunsbach flooding on 29th May 2016

COSMO Forecast, 20160529, 12:00 UTC + 06:00

2mom forecast

1mom forecast

0.6

0.4

0.8

1

Conclusions:

- ✤ The LETKF works reasonably well for the assimilation of radar reflectivity.
- Some advantages with 2mom microphysics scheme, but still room for improvement, e.g., cold bias in PBL (too strong cold pools?).
- ✤ Forecasts for the Braunsbach event look promising.

Planned work for next 12 months (incl. Alberto)

- Careful analysis of effect of "bubbles" and "dBZ transform"
- Improvement of 2mom scheme for "stratiform regions of convective systems" and "cold bias",

... but would like to have IR and VIS satellite (at least passive) otherwise the improvements for dBZ might deteriorate the cloud structures seen by the satellite.

- Horizontal diffusion for 1 km COSMO model.
- OSSE-like test with idealized supercell to better understand practical predictability in the LETKF-based system.

