

# Item 4: Common Plot Reports 2015-2016



Verification Display Interpretation and Applications Home

#### **Common Verification Reports**

Last updated: at most recent report

In the framework of COSMO verification activities, statistical scores extracted from CVS (common verification suite) or other packages, are presented for all COSMO countries with the use of a common graphic package.

See the guidelines of the verification reports (pdf, since Oct 2014)

year	Dec-Jan-Feb	Mar-Apr-May	Jun-Jul-Aug	Sep-Oct-Nov
2015	get pdf	N/A	N/A	N/A
2014	get pdf	get pdf	get pdf	get pdf
2013	get pdf	get pdf	get <mark>pdf</mark>	get pdf
2012	get <mark>pdf</mark>	get pdf	get pdf	get pdf
2011	N/A	N/A	get pdf (for both seasons)	



# **FTE attribution**

STC was in favor of the proposition for FTE attribution for common plots and conditional verification activities (requested by WG5 in August 2014), provided that its additional conditions are imposed, as formulated in the minutes of the STC meeting from September 2014:

- STC suggests to restrict the task to the plots on the common area, which are the ones bringing a benefit since really comparable. STC requests for a deeper analysis in the report
- STC suggests that FTE should be required to perform some additional explanation of results which will help to detect and improve outdated installations and correct model setups.
- STC decides to keep conditional verification in the task, but requests that the conditions should be decided every year new by the WG3a/b.

STC agrees to the WG5 coordinator proposition to attribute formal FTE to these activities in the requested amount with the modifications stated above.



## Contributions

4	Common Plo	ot Activity				
4.1	0.2	Reporting		<b>4.1 Reporting</b> 0.2 FTEs for report preparation		
Assigned Ge Gr	FTEs 0.1 0.1	Name Damrath Boukouvala	Detail Report Production Report Production	<ul> <li>0.1FTEs D. Boukouvala, HNMS: graphics preparation, report with 0.1FTEs U.Damrath, DWD: web graphics preparation, long term</li> </ul>		
4.2	0.35	Score Production				
Assigned	ned FTEs Name Detail		trends			
Ge	0.05	Damrath	Score Production			
Sw	0.05	Schubiger (qrt4: Lapillonne)	Score Production			
lt	0.05	Vocino	Score Production	4.2 Score Production		
lt	0.05	Tesini	Score Production	0.05 ETEs por participating sorvice/mov		
Gr	0.05	Gofa	Score Production	0.05 FIES per participating service/mo		
Po	0.05	Linkowska	Score Production			
Ru	0.05	Kirsanov	Score Production			



## **Task description**

#### Score Production (4.2)

Preparation of input data and calculation of seasonal statistics over a common area according to the guidelines derived on an annual basis from WG5 (<u>http://www.cosmomodel.org/content/tasks /verification.priv/common/guidelines.pdf</u>) for each participating model. This Task includes conditional verification tests performed over this area. IFS driving model statistics has also been added this year. *Seasons*: JJA 2014, SON 2014, DJF 2015, MAM 2015

#### Reporting (4.1)

Processing of data from all models for each parameter and conditional verification test in appropriate format

R scripting for production of graphs (cross model representation)

- Preparation of report for each season
- Commenting of significant errors or discrepancies between models

Preparation of web graphics based on DWD representation regime

Long term trend calculations



### Main Issues to be considered

•Choice of model resolution to be used (7km resolution soon will not be the operational in some services). *IFS driving model at 9km resolution from Jan 2016* 

- •Introduction of higher resolution models does not seem to provide any common domain (to be investigated)
- •Communication of CP-derived information to COSMO management and other WGs (Conditional Verification) Preparation of short report to be distributed to WG3a,b
- •Common Verification Software concept to be decided by STC *Can we continue these reports when based on variable verification software?*
- •No upper air verification is included (Feedback File use)

#### Secondary points

- •Important to have precise application of the guidelines prepared
- •Only the 00UTC run is verified in all cases
- •Effort to eliminate delay in the preparation of the reports due to multi-naming of files (strictly use the naming definition given in the last table), errors in their format,

not checked verification results prior to sending, delayed delivery (set dates), define clear procedure in the commenting/revision of reports



## Additional Common Plot Report Applications

COSMO operational EPS system verification (LEPS) using ECMWF resources

- available through NWP test suite special project
- Comparisons with driving models (IFS, ICON) for same Specifications
- Problems reported with VERSUS -> ICON



Based on the questionnaire filled by all participants, Common Plot reports is a "useful" activity of WG5 – Important to be tailored to NWS and COSMO needs

DWD MCH CNMCA HNMS IMGW NMA RHM ARPA-SIMC ARPA-PT

[Decision]: Responsible member for the preparation of annual reports for 2015/2016 – (U. Damrath retirement)



# **Standard Verification on Common Area**





## **Common Verification Plots for Common Area (2014-2015)**

#### **Standard Verification**

- <u>Continuous parameters</u> over all stations T2m, Td, Wspeed, MSLP Method: 3D method-height optimized
   Scores: ME, RMSE. Forecast Step: every 3 hours
- <u>Continuous parameters</u> over all stations TCC

Method: 30km radius method

Scores: ME, RMSE. Forecast Step: every 3 hours

• <u>Dichotomic parameters</u> **over all stations** – Precipitation (15 km radius method).

Method: 15km radius method

Scores: FBI, ETS, Performance Diagrams

Accumulation: 6h and 24h

*Thresholds*: 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 mm/6h and mm/24h

## **Definition of content for 2015-2016 report**



## **Common Verification Plots**

#### **Conditional Verification experiments**



## Common Verification Plots for Common Area Conditional Verification

- <u>2mT verification with the following criteria (one condition)</u>:
  - Soil water content  $\geq$ 4 (condition based on **forecasts**)
  - Soil water content <2 (condition based on **forecasts**)
- <u>Wind Speed verification with the following criteria (one condition)</u>:
  - Roughness length <0.2 m(condition based on forecasts)</li>
  - Roughness length >1m (condition based on **forecasts**)

✓ Need to report the sample size or percentage of cases in each category
 ✓ Easier to draw conclusions when difference from unconditioned errors on parameters are plotted



# **<u>Cooperation with WG3a (2014-2015)</u>**: Processing of verification feedback on model development

**Scope:** Direct and Indirect effects of the option of COSMO model that considers the interaction between the turbulence scheme and the wind tendency due to the presence of subgrid scale variability <u>(LTKESSO)</u> will be evaluated.

2mT, Wind speed and MSLP verification with the following criteria (one condition): subgrid scale orography variance (SSO\_STDH) ≤25m (condition based on **forecasts**) subgrid scale orography variance (SSO\_STDH) ≥100m (condition based on **forecasts**)



#### **Conditional Verification experiments: 2015-2016**

#### With Communication with WG3b Coordinator

**Study:** Impact of soil type and vegetation height on the performance of various weather parameters in the lower atmosphere

**Reasoning:** The soil-vegetation representation in the model involves the fluxes of energy and water at the surface and determines the exchange of heat, moisture and momentum between the surface and the atmosphere. This has consequently an impact on near surface weather parameters (**temperature, dewpoint, wind**)

**Scope:** Evaluate the relevant effect in bias of modeled weather elements due to the variability on terrain characteristics



# **Physical Concept**

Through the External Parameters (climatological values on a coarse resolution) COSMO model receives as boundary condition information on surface characteristics as the type of surface (land,water), type and amount of vegetation and type of soil (porosity and thermal properties).

#### Soil type Impacts:

- How the model's incoming solar energy absorbed at the earth's surface (skin) is partitioned into surface heating and evaporation of moisture
- The amount of water available for evaporation through model vegetation (evapotranspiration) and from the surface soil layer
- Incoming solar energy (Albedo, Cloudiness, Solar Angle
- Albedo of the surface, which affects the amount of solar energy available for use at the surface
- Heat conductivity of the surface, which determines the amount of surface heating that can be transported down into the deep soil layers



# **CV Application Steps**

- Decide on a common area of interest for this application
- Analysis of soil types and vegetation heights around each observation station as derived from model (constant model output fields at 00 step)
- Create a stratification of stations with a statistically significant sample from each category (easier for soil types, not very promising for vegetation)
- Perform verification of weather parameters (2mT, DewP, WindSp) for main categories for all seasons (dry and wet conditions)
- Present unconditioned and conditioned performance of forecasted weather elements



List of Conditional Verification tests as was proposed by Model Developers

Conditions imposed both in <u>fcst and obs</u> space

2m Temperature			
1st condition: 2nd condition:	Total cloud cover >= 75% (overcast condition) a. THICK using TQC (Total column cloud water)		
	b. THIN using TQC - Reference value TQC<5 g/m2		
1st condition:	Total cloud cover <= 25% (clear sky condition)		
2nd condition:	a. THICK using TQC - Reference value TQC>5 g/m2		
	b. THIN using TQC - Reference value TQC<5 g/m2		
1st condition:	2m Temp for various thresholds		
	2mT with wind in selected stations		
	2ml with snow cover		
a			
1st condition:	Total cloud cover <= 25% (overcast condition)		
2nd condition:	wind speed <= 2,5 m/s		
Precipitation			
1st condition:	Convective precipitation (unstable atmosphere)		
	Reference value of CAPE 50 J/Kg		
	Precipitation for various weather classes		
	Check pressure tendency availability		
1st condition:	Large scale precipitation (LSP)		
	using non convective CAPE values		
Cloud cover with sta	bility index		
Wind Speed			
WS with roughness I	ength		
Wind gust			
1st condition:	Convective (unstable atmosphere)		
	Wind gust for convective precipitation cases		
1st condition:	non convective atmosphere,		
	using non convective CAPE		