COSMO-LEPS:

present status and plans.

Andrea Montani,

C. Marsigli, T. Paccagnella

ARPA-SIMC

HydroMeteoClimate Regional Service of Emilia-Romagna, Bologna, Italy

WG7 meeting Eretria, 8-11 September 2014



• Present status of COSMO-LEPS:

- \succ about the operational verification,
- ➤ about the inter-comparison with ECMWF ENS,
- \succ about the convection schemes,
- > about the experimentation with high-resolution boundaries,
- \succ about the future plans.



COSMO-LEPS suite @ ECMWF: present status



Main changes in the COSMO year

- **December 2013:** migration to new ECMWF Member-State server (ecgate).
- **19 February 2014:** COSMO upgrade: 4.26 → 5.0;

int2lm upgrade: 1.20 → 2.0;
use of IFS-Bechtold scheme for members 9-16 (Kain-Fritsch no more supported).

- **13 March 2014:** upgrade of external parameters, using the same as COSMO-EU. Use of prescribed background albedo.
- **15 July 2014:** upgrade of int2lm to decode GRIB2 format of DWD soil moisture fields.
- **25 August 2014:** migration to new ECMWF super-computer (still ongoing).



• Present status of COSMO-LEPS:

 \succ about the operational verification,



Time-series verification of COSMO-LEPS





|--|

variable:	12h cumulated precip (18-06, 06-18 UTC);
period :	from Dec 2002 to May 2014;
region:	43-50N, 2-18E (MAP D-PHASE area);
method:	nearest grid point; no-weighted fcst;
obs:	synop reports (about 470 stations/day);
fcst ranges:	6-18h, 18-30h,, 102-114h, 114-126h;
thresholds:	1, 5, 10, 15, <i>25, 50</i> mm/12h;
system:	COSMO-LEPS;
scores:	ROC area, BSS, RPSS, Outliers,

both monthly and seasonal scores were computed



Time series of ROC area (6-month running mean)

- > Area under the curve in the HIT rate vs FAR diagram; the higher, the better ...
- > Valuable forecast systems have ROC area values > 0.6.
- Highest scores in the 2nd part of 2011 and, for the highest threshold, in 2013.
- Drier seasons during 2011 and 2012 with few heavyprecipitation events: limited significance of the results for the 15mm threshold.
- fc 30-42h: ROC area is high for last winter and spring. Positive trend can be noticed.
- fc 78-90h: the best scores date back to the end of 2011.
- Limited loss of predictability with increasing forecast range.





Time series of ROC area (6-month running mean)

> Area under the curve in the HIT rate vs FAR diagram; the higher, the better ...

- > Valuable forecast systems have ROC area values > 0.6.
- Highest scores in the 2nd part of 2011 and, for the highest threshold, in 2013.
- Drier seasons during 2011 and 2012 with few heavyprecipitation events: limited significance of the results for the 15mm threshold.
- fc 30-42h: ROC area is high for last winter and spring. Positive trend can be noticed.
- fc 78-90h: the best scores date back to the end of 2011.
- Limited loss of predictability with increasing forecast range.





Outliers: time series + seasonal scores (DJF)

- > How many times the analysis is out of the forecast interval spanned by the ensemble members.
- $\succ\ldots$ the lower the better \ldots
- > Performance of the system assessed as time series and for the last 4 winters.
- Evident seasonal cycle (more outliers in winter).
- Overall reduction of outliers in the years up to 2007; then, again in the last 1.5 year.





Outliers: time series + seasonal scores (DJF)

- > How many times the analysis is out of the forecast interval spanned by the ensemble members.
- \succ ... the lower the better ...
- > Performance of the system assessed as time series and for the last 4 winters.



Ranked Probability Skill Score: time series + seasonal scores (MAM)

- ➤ A sort of BSS "cumulated" over all thresholds. RPSS is written as 1-RPS/RPS_{ref}. Sample climate is the reference system. RPS is the extension of the Brier Score to the multi-event situation.
- > Useful forecast systems for RPSS > 0.
- > Performance of the system assessed as time series and for the last 4 springs (MAM).



the increase of the COSMO-LEPS skill is detectable for all forecast ranges along the years, **BUT**

- > low skill in the first months of 2012 (the problem comes from MAM), then recovery.
- > Best results for MAM 2011; quick decrease of RPSS with forecast range for MAM 2012; "normal" scores in 2014.



• Present status of COSMO-LEPS:

➤ about operational verification (<u>time-series scores show positive trend</u>; good performance for winter 2013-14, "normal scores" for MAM2014),

➤ about the inter-comparison with ECMWF ENS,



Comparison of COSMO-LEPS and ECMWF EPS



Main features:

variable:24h cumulated precip (06-06 UTC);period:from December 2009 to May 2014;region:Northern Italy;method:BOXES (1.0 x 1.0);obs:non-GTS network (~1000 stations x day);fcst ranges:18-42h, 42-66h, 66-90h, 90-114h;thresholds:1, 5, 10, 15, 25, 50 mm/24h;systems:

- COSMO-LEPS (16m, 7 km, 40ML) cleps16
- full EPS (51m, 30 km, 62ML) eps51



Verification of the distributions

The verification has been made in terms of:

- Average value
- Maximum value
- 50th percentile (Median)
- 75^{th,} 90th, 95th percentiles



in a box

two measures of precipitation:

- the cumulative volume of water deployed over a specific region;
- the rainfall peaks occurring within the same region.



A.Montani

Time series of Ranked Probability Skill Score maximum values (boxes 1.0 X 1.0) (1)

- BSS "cumulated" over all thresholds. RPSS is written as 1-RPS/RPS_{ref}. Sample climate is the reference system. RPS is the extension of the Brier Score to the multi-event situation; useful forecast systems for RPSS > 0
- > RPSS depends on the ensemble size N and penalises small ensemble sizes.
- > Consider **debiased RPSS**: **RPSS_D** = 1 –(RPS/(RPS_{ref} + RPS_{ref} /N)); a 3-month running mean is applied.



- Seasonal cycles of the scores; worse performance in winters, possibly related to the presence of snow (some stations are not heated).
- Either way (RPSS or RPSS_D), ECMWF-EPS had initially higher scores; then, COSMO-LEPS has had higher scores than ECMWF-EPS since 2013 in the short range, despite the lower ensemble size.

The same applies (COSMO-LEPS has higher scores than ECMWF-EPS) for all forecast ranges.

Time series of Outliers maximum values (boxes 1.0 X 1.0)



- \succ How many times the analysis is out of the forecast interval spanned by the ensemble members.
- \succ ... the lower the better ...
- \succ The performances of the systems are assessed for two different forecast ranges (18-42h and 90-114h)





> Better

• Present status of COSMO-LEPS:

➤ about operational verification (time-series scores show positive trend; good performance for winter 2013-14, "normal scores" for MAM2014),

 \succ about the inter-comparison with ECMWF ENS (<u>higher skill of</u> <u>COSMO-LEPS since 2013 for verification over boxes</u>),

 \succ about the convection schemes,



Types of convection schemes

With the introduction of COSMO V5.0, Kain-Fritsch convection scheme is no more supported:

▶ members 1-8 use Tiedtke convection scheme (8TD),

➤ members 9-16 use IFS-Bechtold scheme (8BE).

MAM 2014 (very rainy): compare cleps16, 8TD, 8BE over the full domain in terms of total precipitation



______stp ____stp ____stp ____stp ____stp ____stp ____stp ____stp _____stp _____stp _____stp _____stp _____stp _____stp _____stp _____stp ______stp _____stp ____stp ___stp ___stp ___stp ____stp ____stp ___stp ____stp ___stp ___stp ___stp ___stp ___stp ___stp ___stp ___stp ___

0,7

2014_MAM; cleps16

2014_MAM; 8TD

2014_MAM; 8BE



2014 MAM; cleps16

2014_MAM; 8TD

2014_MAM; 8BE

0.7





IFS-Bechtold members have many more outliers BELOW the minimum than Tiedtke-members (dotted lines).
 →Overestimation of precipitation (in reality it does not rain) in members 9-16, especially during day-time verification.

→In all cases, outliers ABOVE the maximum remain almost unchanged for all forecast ranges (dashed lines).



cleps16

8BE

8TD

• Present status of COSMO-LEPS:

➤ about operational verification (time-series scores show positive trend; good performance for winter 2013-14, "normal scores" for MAM2014),

 \succ about the inter-comparison with ECMWF ENS (<u>higher skill of</u> <u>COSMO-LEPS since 2013 for verification over boxes</u>),

➤ about the convection schemes (<u>Tiedtke members superior to IFS-</u> <u>Bechtold members in COSMO 5.0 as for precipitation</u>),

▶about the experimentation with high-resolution boundaries,

 \succ about the future plans.



about the future plans

- September 2014: adapt COSMO-LEPS suite to ECWMF forthcoming upgrades:
 - change of super-computer: IBM \rightarrow Cray;
- November 2014: test increase of COSMO-LEPS vertical resolution (40 \rightarrow 50ML);
- Migration to GRIB2.
- Carry on study about the clustering methodology.

Any request for modifications to the present configuration of COSMO-LEPS?

If IFS-Bechtold members are clearly worse than Tiedtke members also for other seasons, what about using only one convection scheme? And/or check other variables?
There is a Greek request for an increase of the integration domain of COSMO-LEPS: how to handle it?



Thank you !

EMS annual meeting / European Conference on Applied Climatology 06 - 10 October 2014, Prague (CZ)

Session NWP4 (on Tuesday 07 October): Probabilistic and ensemble forecasting at short and medium-range

http://www.ems2014.eu/home.html

