

**serialization**



# Serialization (1/4)

- Effort to synchronize Fortran with C++
- Unit-test Fortran sections against C++ implementation
- Serialization = write input / output of section to file
  
- **Problem:** Additional code...
  - #ifdef
  - can be significant
  - can confuse developer
  
- **Proposal:** Custom pre-processor
  - serialization directives !\$ser
  - significant reduction of additional code
  - appear as comments to developer



# Serialization (2/4)

- Example: Coriolis

```
#ifdef _SERIALIZE
call fs_SetSavePointName('CoriolisUnittest.Apply-in')
call fs_AddSavePointInfo('LargeTimeStep', ntstep)
call fs_AddSavePointInfo('RKStageNumber', 0)
call fs_AddSavePointInfo('SmallTimeStep', 0)
call fs_WriteData('u_nnow', u(:, :, :, nnow))
call fs_WriteData('v_nnow', v(:, :, :, nnow))
call fs_WriteData('u_tens', utens)
call fs_WriteData('v_tens', vtens)
#endif

DO k = 1, ke
  DO j = jstartu, jendu
    DO i = istartu, iendu
      z_fv_north = fc(i, j) * ( v(i, j, k, nn) + v(i+1, j, k, nn) )
      z_fv_south = fc(i, j-1) * ( v(i, j-1, k, nn) + v(i+1, j-1, k, nn) )

      ...

    ENDDO
  ENDDO
END DO

#ifdef _SERIALIZE
call fs_SetSavePointName('CoriolisUnittest.Apply-out')
call fs_AddSavePointInfo('LargeTimeStep', ntstep)
call fs_AddSavePointInfo('RKStageNumber', 0)
call fs_AddSavePointInfo('SmallTimeStep', 0)
call fs_WriteData('u_tens', utens)
call fs_WriteData('v_tens', vtens)
#endif
```



# Serialization (3/4)

- Example: Coriolis

```
!$ser savepoint CoriolisUnittest.Apply-in LargeTimeStep=ntstep RKStageNumber=0 SmallTimeStep=0
!$ser data u_nnow=u(:, :, :, nnow) v_nnow=v(:, :, :, nnow) u_tens=utens v_tens=vtens

DO k = 1, ke
  DO j = jstartu, jendu
    DO i = istartu, iendu
      z_fv_north = fc(i,j) * ( v(i,j ,k,nn) + v(i+1,j ,k,nn) )
      z_fv_south = fc(i,j-1) * ( v(i,j-1,k,nn) + v(i+1,j-1,k,nn) )

      ...

    ENDDO
  ENDDO
END DO

!$ser savepoint CoriolisUnittest.Apply-out LargeTimeStep=ntstep RKStageNumber=0 SmallTimeStep=0
!$ser data u_tens=utens v_tens=vtens
```

- Lightweight Python script (< 700 lines)
- Small set of powerful directives

```
!$ser init           !$ser registertracer !$ser zero
!$ser option        !$ser tracer           !$ser perturb
!$ser register      !$ser savepoint       !$ser cleanup
!$ser data          !$ser verbatim
```



# Serialization (4/4)

- Show some other code examples
- Total of 450 lines of directives  
(mostly in `Imorg.f90` and dynamical core)

## Proposal for v5.2

- Integrate serialization directives
- Deliver official version with Python script and a Makefile target to build serialization

## Discussion

- Who will maintain serialization?

**halo-update**



# New halo-update API (1/4)

- API of GCL and `exchg_boundaries()` are not compatible
  - initialization vs. on-the-fly
  - static vs. dynamic fields
  - asynchronous vs. synchronous
  - CPU/GPU vs. CPU-only
- Idea was to redesign an API which works for both Fortran and GCL
- Design proposal exists, but currently no resources for implementation



# New halo-update API (2/4)

```
kzdims(1:24)=(/ke,ke,ke1,ke,ke,ke,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0/)
CALL exchg_boundaries &
(50+nnew, sendbuf, isendbuflen, imp_reals, icomm_cart, num_compute, &
 ie, je, kzdims, jstartpar, jendpar, &
 nbl_exchg, nboundlines, my_cart_neigh, &
 lperi_x, lperi_y, l2dim, &
 17000+nexch_tag, ldatatypes, ncomm_type, izerror, yzerrmsg, &
 u(:,:,:,nnew), v(:,:,:,nnew), w(:,:,:,nnew), t(:,:,:,nnew), &
 pp(:,:,:,nnew), qrs(:,:,:) )

IF ( lzconv ) THEN
  IF ( lprog_tke ) THEN
    IF ( itype_turb /= 3 .OR. ntke == 0 ) THEN
      zntke = nnew
    ELSE
      zntke = ntke
    END IF
    kzdims(1:24)=(/ke,1,ke1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0/)
    CALL exchg_boundaries &
      (0, sendbuf, isendbuflen, imp_reals, icomm_cart, num_compute, &
       ie, je, kzdims, jstartpar, jendpar, &
       nbl_exchg, nboundlines, my_cart_neigh, &
       lperi_x, lperi_y, l2dim, &
       18000+nexch_tag, .FALSE., ncomm_type, izerror, yzerrmsg, &
       dqvdt(:,:,:), qvsflx(:,:), tke(:,:,:,zntke) )
  ELSE
    kzdims(1:24)=(/ke,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0/)
    CALL exchg_boundaries &
      (0, sendbuf, isendbuflen, imp_reals, icomm_cart, num_compute, &
       ie, je, kzdims, jstartpar, jendpar, &
       nbl_exchg, nboundlines, my_cart_neigh, &
       lperi_x, lperi_y, l2dim, &
       18000+nexch_tag, .FALSE., ncomm_type, izerror, yzerrmsg, &
       dqvdt(:,:,:), qvsflx(:,:) )
  END IF
ELSE
```





# New halo-update API (3/4)

```
INTEGER, SAVE :: iexchg = -1

IF (iexchg < 0) THEN
  iexchg = gcl_CreateHaloExchange( "MainHaloUpdateRK" )
  CALL gcl_AddFieldToHaloExchange( iexchg, nbl_exchg, jstartpar, jendpar, u(:,:,:,nnew) )
  CALL gcl_AddFieldToHaloExchange( iexchg, nbl_exchg, jstartpar, jendpar, v(:,:,:,nnew) )
  CALL gcl_AddFieldToHaloExchange( iexchg, nbl_exchg, jstartpar, jendpar, w(:,:,:,nnew) )
  CALL gcl_AddFieldToHaloExchange( iexchg, nbl_exchg, jstartpar, jendpar, t(:,:,:,nnew) )
  CALL gcl_AddFieldToHaloExchange( iexchg, nbl_exchg, jstartpar, jendpar, pp(:,:,:,nnew) )
  CALL gcl_AddFieldToHaloExchange( iexchg, nbl_exchg, jstartpar, jendpar, qrs(:,:,:) )
  IF (lconv) THEN
    CALL gcl_AddFieldToHaloExchange( iexchg, nbl_exchg, jstartpar, jendpar, dqvdt(:,:,:) )
    CALL gcl_RegisterField( qvsflx, "qvsflx" )
    CALL gcl_AddNonDycoreFieldToHaloExchange( iexchg, nbl_exchg, jstartpar, jendpar, qvsflx(:,:) )
  ENDIF
  IF (lprog_tke) THEN
    IF (itype_turb /= 3 .OR. ntke == 0) THEN
      zntke = nnew
    ELSE
      zntke = ntke
    END IF
    CALL gcl_AddFieldToHaloExchange( iexchg, nbl_exchg, jstartpar, jendpar, tke(:,:,:,zntke) )
  ENDIF
  DO iztrcr = 1, trcr_get_ntrcr()
    CALL trcr_get(izerror, iztrcr, ptr_tlev=nnew, ptr=ztrcr)
    IF (izerror /= 0_iintegers) THEN
      yzerrmsg = trcr_errorstr(izerror)
      CALL model_abort(my_cart_id, izerror, yzerrmsg, yzroutine)
    ENDIF
    CALL gcl_AddFieldToHaloExchange( iexchg, nbl_exchg, jstartpar, jendpar, ztrcr(:,:,:) )
  ENDDO
ENDIF
CALL gcl_DoExchange( iexchg )
```



# New halo-update API (4/4)

## Proposal for v5.2

- Use `#ifdef GCL_COMM` to include both halo-exchanges in code
- Replace only bare minimum of halo-updates for now (i.e. within timeloop, GPU fields)
- Use `STOP` for unimplemented one's

**BCs**



# Boundary conditions (1/1)

- New src\_lbc.f90 module (→ see talk from this morning)
- Used in several modules (lmorg.f90, dycore)
- **Guiding principle** Group boundary conditions with halo-updates as much as possible

## Proposal for v5.2

- Introduce src\_lbc.f90
- Replace BCs at as many places as possible
- Fix BC bugs in Fortran dynamical core

**single  
precision**



# Single precision (1/1)

- Accepted into v5.1 and sent out for testing
- **But...**
  - assimilation does not work

```
IF ( (ntstep < 11) &  
.OR. (MOD(ntstep,20) < INT( rmod(tconbox,dt,epsy)-epsy ))) THEN
```

- many options not tested (e.g. lseaice = .true.)

## Proposal

- New POMPA task for SP in assimilation
- Make developers aware of SP issues
- Add SP to technical testsuite
- Modify COSMO Coding Standards

**dycore init**



# Dynamics initializations (1/1)

- `org_runge_kutta()` contains a section of initializations which are done if ( `ntstep == ntstart` )
- Example: Computation of `t0` and `dt0dz` (as a function of `itheta_adv`)
- COSMO coding standards

A package / component shall provide different set-up and running procedures (if necessary), each with a single entry point. All initialization of static data must be done in the set-up procedure and these data must not be altered by the running procedures.

## Proposal for v5.2

- Move into `init_dynamics()`



**assml /  
relaxation**



# Assml / relaxation (1/1)

- Relaxation is implemented in C++ dynamical core
- Workflow in Fortran part is...
  1. dynamical core
  2. assimilation
  3. relaxation + time-filtering (LF)
- Relaxation also acts in boundary zone

## **Proposal for v5.2**

- Split relaxation from time-filtering
- Move relaxation to after dynamical core
- Apply relaxation only in compute domain
- Ensure correct BC in dynamics before relaxation