Evaluation of the ground heat flux simulated by a multi-layer land surface scheme using high-quality observations
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The problem …

- The ground heat flux in the COSMO land surface scheme TERRA is systematically overestimated under dry conditions.

- Since this flux is part of the surface energy balance it affects the other components like the turbulent heat fluxes or the surface temperature.

- An overestimation of the ground heat flux during daytime leads to an underestimation of the other surface fluxes and a reduced surface warming.

- During afternoon and night this behaviour is reversed.
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Hypothesis

The ground heat flux in the COSMO model is systematically overestimated in summer. Main reasons:

- The shading effect of the vegetation is not represented in the model
- The thermal conductivity of the soil is too large in summer

Methodology

- Focus on thermal conductivity first
- Reduce the thermal conductivity of the soil in summer, by introducing its strong dependence on the soil water content
The thermal conductivity of water is about a factor of 25 larger than that of air!

This means, replacing the air in the pores of a soil by water increases the thermal conductivity of the soil system dramatically.

In other words: A wet soil (in winter) has a much larger thermal conductivity than a dry soil (in summer).
Thermal Conductivity depends on soil moisture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Saturation</th>
<th>Field capacity</th>
<th>Permanent wilting point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All pores are filled with water</td>
<td>Pores fill with air</td>
<td>Thin water films held by adhesion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- thermal conductivity high!
- conductivity lower.
- conductivity very low!
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Experiments:

- Introduce soil thermal conductivity dependence on soil water content
- Use atmospheric forcing to run TERRA in offline mode
- Here, observed forcing from DWD observatory Lindenberg is used (Falkenberg site)

Heise et al. (2006)
In the soil component of the COSMO model, the multi-layer TERRA scheme, the thermal conductivity is constant in time. It represents a medium soil wetness, shown by the blue line in the figure.

The red and black curve show two other approaches, relating thermal conductivity to soil water content:

- **Johansen (1975)**
- **McCumber and Pielke (1981)**

Curves were computed for the mean soil moisture profile for Falkenberg on 1-16 Jul. 2010 in offline TERRA.
The simulated surface solar radiation balance agrees well with the observation (grass albedo = 0.18).
First half of July 2010: Soil column very dry

Two rain events in second half

Changes in soil moisture are reflected in thermal conductivity
Offline TERRA: Falkenberg July 2010
Thermal conductivity: Johansen
Grass land

The diurnal cycles of the ground heat flux are reduced by Johansen under dry conditions and fit better to the observation.
The diurnal cycles of the ground heat flux are even more reduced by McCumber and Pielke under dry conditions.
The diurnal cycles of the soil temperature are reduced by Johansen under dry conditions.
The diurnal cycles of the soil temperature are too much reduced by McCumber and Pielke under dry conditions.
In TERRA the effects of shading of the sub-canopy land surface by the vegetation is not represented. The incoming solar radiation is directly used in the surface energy balance, modifying the other energy terms in an unrealistic way.
Falkenberg bare soil measurement site

- Measurement of soil temperatures under bare soil
- Shading avoided
- About 1 m² in the middle of meadow
- Now comparison of temperatures under grass land and bare soil possible
- Diurnal temperature range reduced by Johansen by about 2°C
- Compared to bare soil measurements this is very good
- Shading (even by grass) has a huge effect
- **Measurements**: Average diurnal temperature range (DTR) reduced by shading from 11.62°C to 5.84°C
- Day 182-197: Dry period, DTR reduced by Johansen by about 2°C
- Day 200-204: Soil column getting wet, DTR increased by Johansen by up to 2°C
- Beyond day 205: Wet period, both model versions and observation become similar
Conclusions

- Ground heat flux in COSMO model is systematically overestimated under dry conditions.
- Affects other components of the surface energy balance like turbulent heat fluxes or surface temperature in terms of phase or amplitude of their diurnal cycles.
- Two approaches by Johansen (1975) and McCumber and Pielke (1981) for a soil thermal conductivity being dependent on soil moisture were tested. The first one leads to better results and appears to be favourable.
- A representation of the shading effect in the model needs further attention.
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