

PP POMPA (WG6)

Parallel Session

Welcome!

COSMO GM13, Sibiu



16:30	Oliver Fuhrer	Overview
17:00	Xavier Lapillonne	OpenACC directives
17:20	Oliver Fuhrer	Dycore rewrite
17:40	André Walser	Single precision
18:00	all	Integration of POMPA developments
18:20	Zbigniew Piotrowski	How to marry POMPA and CELO?
18:40	Massimo Milelli	WG6 Science Plan
19:00	all	Any other discussion points?

Project Management

- Revised project plan
- Final report on the new dynamical core based on the stencil library
- **SMC** recommended to accepted project plan and to continue developments with goal to deliver a GPU-capable version of COSMO based on the stencil library
- **STC** gave go ahead and accepted revised project plan
- Goal: POMPA developments in official version in December 2014

Resources (planned + missing) 4.2 + 0.9

Task 3 Improve current parallelization (0.6 + 0.1 FTEs)

- GPU-capable communication library
- Blocked physics package

Task 4 I/O Strategy (0.4 FTEs)

- Porting of I/O code to GPUs
- Task 5 Redesign dycore (2.2 + 0.2 FTEs)
 - Consolidation/improvements of stencil library
 - Full featured RK dynamical core based on stencil library
 - Update to COSMO v5.x
 - Knowhow transfer

Task 6 GPU acceleration (1.0 + 0.6 FTEs)

- Full featured physics based on OpenACC
- Update to COSMO v5.x
- Consolidation/improvements of OpenACC sections

Overview

- Task 1 Performance analysis and documentation
- Task 2 Redesign memory layout and data structures
- **Task 3** Improve current parallelization (\rightarrow discussion)
- Task 4 Parallel I/O
- Task 5 Redesign of dynamical core \rightarrow Oli
- **Task 6** Explore GPU acceleration → Xavier
- Task 7 Implementation documentation
- Task 8 Single precision version → André

Overview of GPU Effort

- Low FLOP count per load/store (stencils!)
- Transfer of data on each timestep too expensive

* -	Part Time/∆t			§ Transfer of ten
	Dynamics	172 ms	VS	prognostic variables 118 ms
	Physics	36 ms		
	Total	253 ms		

All code which touches the prognostic variables on every timestep has to be ported

Full GPU Port

GPU-implementation of "full" timestep of COSMO

Aimed for...

- Completeness (full COSMO model)
- Performance (lower time-to-solution, higher efficiency)
- Portability / Maintainability (separation of concerns, no hacks, libraries)
- Durability (knowledge transfer and documentation)
- Time / resource / technology constraints lead to compromises



Dynamical core

- Small group of developers
- Memory bandwidth bound
- Complex stencils (3D)
- 60% of runtime

→ Complete rewrite in C++/CUDA

- \rightarrow Development of a stencil library
- → Development of new communication library (GCL)
- → Target architecture x86 CPUs and NVIDIA GPUs.
- \rightarrow Extendable to other architectures
- \rightarrow Long term adaptation of the model

Physics, Data Assimilation, et al.

- Large group of developers
- Code may be shared with other models
- Less memory bandwidth bound
- Large part of code (50% of the lines)
- 20% of runtime
- → GPU port with compiler directives (OpenACC)
- → Little code optimization
- → Some parts stay on CPU
- → Most ported routines currently have CPU and GPU version

Implementation



Demonstration Project

- Leverage the research results of POMPA
- Prototype implementation of the COSMO production suite of MeteoSwiss making aggressive use of GPU technology
- Similar time-to-solution on substantially cheaper hardware:





- Prototype of COSMO (v4.19) running on GPU-hardware
- Regular runs (00 UTC and 12 UTC of COSMO-7 and COSMO-2)
- Full operational chain (plots are delivered into visualization software)
- Almost full featured, but certainly physically reasonable

opcode



opr

O **COSMO** Performance Comparison

COSMO-2 +33h

Cray XE6 albis 65 nodes vs. opcode 1 node (8 GPUs):

COSMO-7 +72h 40.0 25.0 Cray XE6 OPR Cray XE6 OPR Tyan K20c HP2C 35.0 Tyan K20c HP2C 20.0 30.0 **Lim** 25.0 Time [Min] 15.0 20.0 10.0 15.0 10.0 5.0 5.0 0.0 0.0 Total **Dynamics** Physics Other Output Total **Dynamics** Physics Other Output

C-2 Single Node (K20x vs. SB)

"turnover"

OOM



Gridpoints

Conclusions

- Everything worked...
 - Dynamical core re-write
 - Integration of CUDA/OpenACC/Fortran/C++/...
 - GPU-to-GPU communication
 - Collaboration
- Prototype (v4.19) capable of doing real-case simulations is available
- If you are interested, get involved!

• Next steps...

- Port remaining parts
 - Physics
 - Dynamical core
 - I/O
- Consolidate code
- Bring developments back to official version
 - Re-ordering of operations
 - New communication interfaces
 - Single precision
 - New handling of BCs

- Serialization
- Block physics
- Static memory allocations
- Code refactorings
- ...



• Questions?