





Precipitation verification: Different methods and approaches

Elena Oberto Naima Vela Maria Stefania Tesini Angela Celozzi

Cosmo General Meeting 2013 – Sibiu (Romania)







Common area → Italy
Dataset → high res raingauges
Method → 24h/6h averaged cumulated precipitation or maximum values
(both observed and forecasted) over 90 meteo-hydrological basins

3 methods

Various domains → each countries dataset → synop stations Method → 24h/6h averaged cumulated forecasted precipitation values over 15 km radius, 24h/6h cumulated observed precipitation values over station point

3



Common area → decided in Lugano
Dataset → synop stations
Method → 24h/6h averaged cumulated forecasted precipitation values over 15 km radius, 24h/6h cumulated observed precipitation values over station point





201201- 201305: Average over area > 0.2 mm/24h



201201- 201305: Average over area > 2 mm/24h



201201- 201305: Average over area > 10 mm/24h



201201- 201305: Average over area > 20 mm/24h



201201- 201305: Average over area > 30 mm/24h



201201- 201305: Average over area > 50 mm/24h



201201-201305: Maximum over area > 0.2 mm/24h



201201-201305: Maximum over area > 2 mm/24h



201201-201305: Maximum over area > 10 mm/24h



201201-201305: Maximum over area > 20 mm/24h



201201-201305: Maximum over area > 30 mm/24h



201201-201305: Maximum over area > 50 mm/24h







Average over area > 0.2 mm/24h





Average over area > 0.2 mm/24h







Average over area > 2 mm/24h





























Average over area > 0.2 mm/6h

0	17 0006
	17 0000
	17 1012
Ň	17 1210
0	7 0006
	7 0000
	7 0012
Ň	7 1210
	7 1024 ELL0006
	EU 0012
X	EU 1210
0	LU 1024 ME 0006
	ME 0612
~	ME 1218
X	ME 1994
	1024
	12 0000
	12 1012
Ň	12 1210
	IZ 1024
	IT 0612
	IT 1012
\sim	IT 1210
0	CD 0006
	GR 0012
\sim	GR 1218
0	
	ECMINE 1824

Average over area > 0.2 mm/6h





Average over area > 0.2 mm/6h





Average over area > 2 mm/6h



DJF2012-13_CA: Precipitation in 6h - 2mm threshold



FORECAST DAY 1 ▲ COSMO-7 + 06 • COSMO-7 + 12 • COSMO-7 + 18 COSMO-7 + 24 COSMO-GR + 06 • COSMO-GR + 12 COSMO-GR + 18 COSMO-GR + 24 ▲ COSMO-I7 + 06 • COSMO-I7 + 12 COSMO-I7 + 18 COSMO-17 + 24 ▲ COSMO-ME + 06 • COSMO-ME + 12 • COSMO-ME + 18 COSMO-ME + 24 ▲ COSMO-PL + 06 • COSMO-PL + 12 • COSMO-PL + 18 COSMO-PL + 24 COSMO-EU + 06 • COSMO-EU + 12 • COSMO-EU + 18 COSMO-EU + 24 ▲ COSMO-RO + 06 • COSMO-RO + 12 COSMO-RO + 18 COSMO-RO + 24 Average over area > 2 mm/6h





Average over area > 10 mm/6h



Average over area > 10 mm/6h





Maximum over area > 0.2 mm/6h

0.8

0.5

0.3

0.4 .

1.0

0	17 0006
	17 0612
\diamond	17 1218
Ā	17 1824
0	7 0006
	7 0612
	7 1218
Ň	7 1824
0	FU 0006
	EU 0612
	EU 1218
Δ	EU 1210
	ME 0006
	ME 0612
\diamond	ME 1218
Δ	ME 1824
0	2 0006
	12 0612
\diamond	2 1218
	12 1824
	IT 0006
	IT 0612
\diamond	IT 1218
\square	IT 1824
0	GR 0006
	GR 0612
\diamond	GR 1218
\triangle	GR 1824
	ECMWF 0006
	ECMWF 0612
\diamond	ECMWF 1218
Δ	ECMWF 1824



Maximum over area > 2 mm/6h

0	17 0006
	17 0612
\diamond	17 1218
	17 1824
0	7 0006
	7 0612
\diamond	7 1218
	7 1824
0	EU 0006
	EU 0612
0	EU 1218
Δ	EU 1824
	ME 0006
	ME 0612
\diamond	ME 1218
\bigtriangleup	ME 1824
0	12 0006
	12 0612
\diamond	12 1218
\triangle	12 1824
	IT 0006
	IT 0612
\diamond	IT 1218
\triangle	IT 1824
0	GR 0006
	GR 0612
\diamond	GR 1218
\triangle	GR 1824
	ECMWF 0006
	ECMWF 0612
\diamond	ECMWF 1218
	ECMWF 1824



Maximum over area > 10 mm/6h

0.8

0.5

0.3

0.4

1.0

-	
0	17 0006
	17 0612
\diamond	17 1218
	17 1824
0	7 0006
	7 0612
\diamond	7 1218
	7 1824
0	EU 0006
	EU 0612
\diamond	EU 1218
	EU 1824
	ME 0006
	ME 0612
\diamond	ME 1218
	ME 1824
0	12 0006
	2 0612
\diamond	12 1218
	12 1824
	IT 0006
	IT 0612
\diamond	IT 1218
\square	IT 1824
0	GR 0006
	GR 0612
\diamond	GR 1218
\triangle	GR 1824
	ECMWF 0006
	ECMWF 0612
\diamond	ECMWF 1218
	ECMWF 1824

Success Ratio



)	m	m/6h
		I7 0006 I7 0612 I7 1218 I7 1824 7 0006 7 0612 7 1218 7 1824 EU 0006 EU 0612 EU 1218 EU 1824 ME 0006 ME 0612 ME 1218 ME 1824 I2 0006 I2 0612 I2 1218 I2 1824 II 0006 II 0612 II 1218 II 1824 GR 0006 GR 0612 GR 1218 GR 1824 ECMWF 00612 ECMWF 0612 ECMWF 1218
	4	

Some considerations (also considering the great emails exchange during August...)





Over Italy the models scores seem to have a sort of "homogeneous characteristic" and the skills for high thresholds diverge and make worse depending on horizontal resolution.

Over CA there are some "strange behaviour": two distinct groups. The first one (ME,I7,PL,GR) seems to follow more or less the "Italy characteristic", the second one (EU, 7, RU) definitely no.

3

2

Over VD the errors seems to be scattered and sometimes the skills are worse than CA skill



Different behaviour from 24h !! Italy and CA seem to be quite similar BUT VD is different with scattered points in the graphs

> The question..... Why?????????

1) It is of course useful for each countries to investigate the characteristics, peculiarity, the errors and deficiencies of the own model version over the own territory, BUT it is also necessary (in my opinion) to have a more wide and complete vision \rightarrow a common area (Italy or another area) with a common dataset (base rate) used by everyone in order to compare objectively the results

2) how big is the impact of the methodology of verification on the final results?

3) Over Italy we consider observed and forecasted mean values over areas, instead over CA and VD we average only the forecasted values (15 km radius) versus the single synop station: is it too stringent?

4) There are some similarities between Italy and CA results, BUT with a general tendency of overestimation over CA: it is perhaps too linked to the observation (single station point)?